Neuron

Filopodia Conduct Target Selection in Cortical Neurons Using Differences in Signal Kinetics of a Single Kinase

Graphical Abstract



Authors

Yu-Ting Mao, Julia X. Zhu, Kenji Hanamura, Giuliano Iurilli, Sandeep Robert Datta, Matthew B. Dalva

Correspondence

matthew.dalva@jefferson.edu

In Brief

Mao et al. provide a mechanism for local control of synaptic specificity. A transfectable indicator of EphB activity reveals that the decision of filopodia to make synapses or to seek new targets is guided by kinetically distinct signals.

Highlights

- EphB2 colocalizes with ephrinB1 and VGLUT1 and release sites in stable filopodia
- GPhos tyrosine kinase (TK) indicators enable *in situ* biochemistry of Eph and TKs
- Moving and stable filopodia contain EphBs, but their EphB TK signaling is distinct
- Differences in the kinetics of EphB signaling guide selection/ rejection of contacts

Mao et al., 2018, Neuron 98, 1–16 May 16, 2018 © 2018 Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.04.011



Article

Filopodia Conduct Target Selection in Cortical Neurons Using Differences in Signal Kinetics of a Single Kinase

Yu-Ting Mao,¹ Julia X. Zhu,¹ Kenji Hanamura,^{1,3} Giuliano Iurilli,² Sandeep Robert Datta,² and Matthew B. Dalva^{1,4,*} ¹Department of Neuroscience and Jefferson Synaptic Biology Center, The Vickie and Jack Farber Institute, Jefferson Hospital for

Neuroscience, Thomas Jefferson University, Suite 461, 900 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA

²Department of Neurobiology, Harvard Medical School, Room 336 Warren Alpert Building, 220 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA ³Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, Gunma University Graduate School of Medicine, 3-39-22 Showa-machi, Maebashi City, Gunma 371-8511, Japan

*Correspondence: matthew.dalva@jefferson.edu https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.04.011

SUMMARY

Dendritic filopodia select synaptic partner axons by interviewing the cell surface of potential targets, but how filopodia decipher the complex pattern of adhesive and repulsive molecular cues to find appropriate contacts is unknown. Here, we demonstrate in cortical neurons that a single cue is sufficient for dendritic filopodia to reject or select specific axonal contacts for elaboration as synaptic sites. Super-resolution and live-cell imaging reveals that EphB2 is located in the tips of filopodia and at nascent synaptic sites. Surprisingly, a genetically encoded indicator of EphB kinase activity, unbiased classification, and a photoactivatable EphB2 reveal that simple differences in the kinetics of EphB kinase signaling at the tips of filopodia mediate the choice between retraction and synaptogenesis. This may enable individual filopodia to choose targets based on differences in the activation rate of a single tyrosine kinase, greatly simplifying the process of partner selection and suggesting a general principle.

INTRODUCTION

The pattern of synaptic connections underlies proper brain function. Yet the physical proximity of a dendrite and an axon is insufficient to predict the presence of a synaptic connection, indicating that neurons possess specific mechanisms to enable the selection of the appropriate synaptic partners (Kasthuri et al., 2015). Establishment of the appropriate pattern of synaptic contacts requires dendritic filopodia to select among a host of potential target axons and decide whether to retract and seek new axonal contacts or to generate a stable synaptic connection. Movement of dendritic filopodia allows for sampling of many different cellular interactions that drive engagement of adhesive and repulsive cues present on potential targets. However, the signals that enable the decision to select a small subset of these dendritic-axonal contacts as targets to be stabilized and form synapses remain unclear.

At sites of contact between axons and filopodia, if a synapse is not generated or the target is incorrect, the filopodium retracts or moves away from the contact. The choice of whether a particular filopodium is stabilized or repulsed to seek out a new possible contact occurs rapidly over a few minutes, suggesting that local signaling at the site of contact determines whether the contact will be stabilized or lost. An important class of factors thought to be related to target selection is the transcellular synaptic organizing proteins. Transsynaptic organizing proteins function as pairs of interacting molecules, with one member in the presumptive presynaptic neuron and a second in the postsynaptic neuron (Dalva et al., 2007; Shen and Scheiffele, 2010). Yet whether these molecules are localized to filopodia as they generate new synaptic sites is not known.

To discriminate among the multiple axons in close contact with each dendritic protrusion, a filopodium could use a complex combination of distinct repulsive and attractive cues. Alternatively, in principle, a single molecule could generate sufficient variability in signaling to specify the fate of a given filopodium. For example, distinct calcium dynamics downstream of the NMDA receptor have been shown to be sufficient to govern whether a synapse is strengthened or depressed (Feldman, 2012; Higley and Sabatini, 2012). Of the potential transsynaptic organizing proteins that might control target selection, the EphBs and ephrin-Bs are particularly attractive. The EphB family of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) function early in development when filopodia are abundant and regulate filopodial motility (Kania and Klein, 2016; Lai and Ip, 2009). Loss-of-function mutations to EphBs result in defective filopodial movement, whereas reconstitution experiments indicate that the EphBdependent filopodial motility relies on the EphB-ephrin-B interaction (Kayser et al., 2008). Not only are EphBs required for normal filopodial movement, but EphBs can generate adhesive transcellular interactions that might stabilize filopodia by binding axonal ephrin-Bs (Kania and Klein, 2016; Lai and lp, 2009). EphB binding to axonal ephrin-B is required for

⁴Lead Contact

CellPress





Figure 1. EphB Receptors Are Located on the Surface of Filopodia and Colocalize with Ephrin-B1 and VGLUT1

(A) Combined two-color super-resolution STED and confocal imaging demonstrate that EphB2 in filopodia (green, STED) colocalizes with ephrin-B1 (red, STED) and VGLUT1 (purple, confocal). Neurons were transfected with mNeptune (gray, confocal). Dashed lines show the morphology of transfected neurons. Arrows indicate an example of colocalization of EphB2, ephrin-B1, and VGLUT1 in filopodia. The scale bar represents 1 μm.

(B) The proportion of EphB2+ and EphB2- filopodia (n = 256).

(C) Quantification of EphB2, ephrin-B1, and VGLUT1 colocalization in EphB2+ filopodia (n = 189).

(D) Surface staining of EphB2 (green) in DIV7-10 neurons transfected with tdTomato. Arrows indicate EphB2+ filopodia. Dashed lines show the morphology of transfected neurons. Bottom figures show that acid treatment removes surface staining of EphB2. The scale bars in A and D represent 2 μm. See also Figure S1.

contacts. The impact of EphB kinase activation on filopodial retraction is validated in HEK cells and cultured cortical neurons using a genetically encoded photoactivatable EphB2 protein. These studies identify a mechanism that enables individual filopodia to locally decide their

presynaptic specialization formation (McClelland et al., 2009), whereas postsynaptic EphBs are required for normal excitatory synapse development *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Henkemeyer et al., 2003; Kayser et al., 2006, 2008). In the mature synapse, EphBs are found within the core of the postsynaptic complex (Perez de Arce et al., 2015), suggesting the possibility that they are an early factor important for synapse initiation. However, it remains unknown whether EphBs are found within filopodia as filopodia interact with molecular cues to select axons for nascent synaptic contacts.

Here, we describe the dynamic intracellular signals that direct the behavior of individual filopodia to initiate synaptogenesis or to retract and seek new contacts between cortical neurons. We find that EphB2 regulates the actions of filopodia through a combination of precise subcellular localization and induction of specific intracellular signals within filopodia. EphB2 is localized to the tips of moving and stable dendritic filopodia. In stable synaptic filopodia, EphB2 is found adjacent to its ligand ephrin-B1, presynaptic marker vesicular glutamate transporter 1 (VGLUT1), and synaptic release sites. Using a dual-color ratiometric vector-encoded indicator GPhosEphB and an unbiased classification approach, we demonstrate that the choice of individual filopodia to retract or make stable axo-dendritic contacts is governed by specific differences in EphB signaling: retraction is initiated by a rapid increase in EphB activity occurring within minutes, whereas slower activation results in formation of stable

own fates, thereby simplifying the selection of appropriate synaptic targets.

RESULTS

EphB2 Is Found at Synaptic Sites in Dendritic Filopodia

Ephrin-B1 and EphB2 are required for synapse formation and are found at mature synaptic sites (Kayser et al., 2006; McClelland et al., 2009; Perez de Arce et al., 2015). To determine where EphB and ephrin-Bs function as axo-dendritic contacts are initiated, we investigated the localization of EphB2 and ephrin-B1 during a phase of rapid EphB-dependent synapse formation when filopodia are abundant (days in vitro [DIV] 7-10; Kayser et al., 2008; McClelland et al., 2009). mNeptune-transfected cortical neurons were immunostained for endogenous EphB2, ephrin-B1, and the presynaptic marker VGLUT1 (Kayser et al., 2006; McClelland et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2012). We conducted four-color imaging, with two channels imaged by super-resolution stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy (endogenous EphB2 and ephrin-B1) and two channels imaged with conventional confocal microscopy (VGLUT1 and mNeptune, Figure 1A, as described previously [Hruska et al., 2015]). Consistent with previous work (Kayser et al., 2008), many contacts were found along the shaft of the dendrite as indicated by colocalization of EphB2, ephrin-B1, and VGLUT1 (Figure 1A). In addition, many dendritic filopodia were EphB2+ and colocalized with



Figure 2. EphB Receptors Cluster at the Tip of Moving and Stable Filopodia and Colocalize with Synaptic Release Sites

(A) Experimental procedure for labeling of presynaptic release sites as in Wilhelm et al. (2010). See STAR Methods for details.

(B) Representative images show filopodia stable for 30 min in neurons transfected with mTurquoise2 (mT2, arrows).

(C) The same dendrite as in (B) overlaid with images of EphB2-YFP (yellow) and synaptic release sites (red, labeled with FM4–64). Arrows indicate EphB2-YFP+ filopodial tips colocalized with FM4–64. Dashed lines show the morphology of transfected neurons. The scale bar represents 5 μ m.

(D) Quantification of colocalization in filopodia (FP, EphB2+: 69% \pm 6%, n = 9; EphB2-: 49% \pm 6%, n = 9; p = 0.024, t test). *p < 0.05. Error bars indicate SEM.

(E) Representative images from 30-min time-lapse movies of DIV7–10 neurons transfected with EphB2-YFP. Two types of filopodia were identified (stable and moving filopodia). Arrows indicate EphB2+ tips across time window. The scale bars in C and E represent 2 μ m. See also Video S1.

ephrin-B1 and VGLUT1 puncta, with ephrin-B1 more frequently and closely associated with VGLUT1 (Figures S1A–S1F).

To determine whether the transsynaptic organizers EphB2 and ephrin-B1 were found at potential synaptic sites at the tips of filopodia, we quantified the proportion of EphB2+ filopodia apposed to ephrin-B1 and VGLUT1 puncta. Four-color STED/confocal imaging revealed that most filopodia contained EphB2 (~74%; 189/ 256; Figures 1A and 1B; Hruska et al., 2015). Of the filopodia containing EphB2 puncta, ~43% of EphB2+ filopodia (81/189) colocalized with or were adjacent to both ephrin-B1 and VGLUT1 puncta (Figures 1A and 1C). Consistent with the well-described presynaptic localization of ephrin-B1 and the selective function of ephrin-B1 in recruiting presynaptic specializations (Figures S1A-S1E; McClelland et al., 2009, 2010), VGLUT1 puncta were rarely found at filopodia containing EphB2 but lacking ephrin-B1 (<10%; Figure 1C). Moreover, live-cell staining for EphB2 revealed that EphB2 is enriched on the cell surface in the dendritic shaft and within the majority of dendritic filopodia (72%; 237/328; Figures 1D, S1G, and S1H; Hanamura et al., 2017; Perez de Arce et al., 2015). Thus, EphB2 and ephrin-B1 are localized appropriately at sites of contact between filopodia and axons to control the initial steps of synapse development, suggesting that EphBs function on the surface of dendritic filopodia and may interact in trans with presynaptic ephrin-B1.

EphB in Stable Filopodia Colocalizes with Synaptic Release Sites

To determine whether stable filopodia containing EphB2 might form functional synapses, we conducted live-cell imaging of synaptic release sites using FM dye. Neuronal morphology was visualized by transfecting neurons with mTurquoise2 (Goedhart et al., 2012), and the localization of EphB2 in living cells was determined by co-expressing EphB2-yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) (Kayser et al., 2008). In DIV7-10 neurons, stable filopodia were defined as those that moved less than 1 µm/frame during the 30-min imaging period (Kayser et al., 2008) and presynaptic release sites were visualized with spontaneously loaded FM4-64 (see STAR Methods for detail; Figure 2A; Wilhelm et al., 2010). Stable filopodia were more likely to colocalize with synaptic sites if EphB2-YFP was present (69% \pm 6%; n = 9) than if EphB2-YFP was absent $(49\% \pm 6\%; n = 9; p = 0.024; t \text{ test}; Figures 2B-2D).$ These findings suggest that EphB2 in filopodia may drive the initiation of functional synapse formation. Together with our STED imaging results, these findings suggest that the localization of EphB2 to tips of filopodia may determine which axo-dendritic contacts are stabilized to become synaptic sites.

EphB Clusters in Both Moving and Stable Dendritic Filopodia

Whereas stable EphB2-containing filopodia are often sites of synaptic transmission, moving filopodia explore potential axonal contacts (Kayser et al., 2008). Therefore, we asked whether EphBs might mediate these distinct cellular behaviors by localizing differently in moving and stable filopodia. As expected from Figure 2C, live-cell imaging of neurons transfected with EphB2-YFP revealed that clusters of EphB2-YFP were often found in the tips of stable filopodia (65%; 156/240; Figure 2E; Video S1). However, similar numbers of clusters of EphB2



Figure 3. The GPhos Indicators Selectively Report Activity of EphB or EphA Tyrosine Kinases

(A) Design of single- and dual-color GPhos indicators. GPhosEphB and GPhosEphA indicators differ only in the phospho-peptide region (shown in blue).
 (B) GPhosEphB was immunoprecipitated from HEK293T cells transfected with GPhosEphB indicator and EphB2 or EphA4 receptor and probed for phosphorylation (PY99). Ephrin-B2 treatment resulted in phosphorylation of GPhosEphB only in cells transfected with EphB2. Lower western blots show expression controls.

were seen in moving filopodia (78%; 83/106; Figure 2E; Video S1), suggesting that differences in the localization of EphB2 cannot explain the distinct functions of EphBs in moving and stable filopodia and supporting the previous findings that EphBs are required for both filopodial motility and synaptogenesis (Kayser et al., 2006, 2008).

Design and Validation of Genetically Encoded Fluorescent Reporters for Tyrosine Kinase Activity

Because clusters of EphB2 are localized to both moving and stable filopodia, we hypothesized that specific differences in the kinetics or magnitude of EphB2 kinase signaling might determine whether a filopodium is repulsed or stabilized upon contact with an axon. To test this hypothesis, we designed a genetically encoded tyrosine phosphorylation indicator called green phosphorylation (GPhos) to visualize the subcellular activity of tyrosine kinases (TKs). The indicator is composed of a single, circularly permutated EGFP core flanked on the N terminus by two consensus phosphorylation sites for specific tyrosine kinases and on the C terminus by a relatively promiscuous SH2 domain that binds to phosphorylated tyrosine residues (Figure 3A; Nakai et al., 2001; Pawson, 2004; Songyang et al., 1993). To validate our design and enable straightforward testing, we first made a reporter for the intracellular TK Fyn using a circularly permutated EGFP fused with a peptide containing the two consensus sites for tyrosine phosphorylation (YEEIVGEFKIYEEI; Figure S2; Songyang et al., 1993), which undergo phosphorylation following Fyn activation, and the Fyn SH2 domain. SH2 domain binding to the phosphorylated tyrosine increased fluorescence intensity of the EGFP core (Figure S2). To generate a quantitative ratiometric tool, we fused a second fluorescent protein monomeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP) (Figures S2B and S2C; Campbell et al., 2002) that has a similar bleaching rate to EGFP with a rigid five-turn α -helical linker to the indicator core (Figures 3A, S2B, and S2D).

GPhos indicators are phosphorylatable (Figure S3A) and report both increases and decreases in tyrosine kinase activity (Figure S3B). Selective indicators for Ephs were created using peptides containing autophosphorylation sites of the juxtamembrane domains of EphB2 or EphA4 (GPhosEphB: <u>YIDPFTYEDP</u>; GPhosEphA: <u>YVDPFTYEDP</u>; Figure 3A). HEK293T cells transfected with each indicator and either EphB2 or EphA4 and treated with activated soluble ephrin-B2 or ephrin-A1 show selective phosphorylation of GPhosEphB in only EphB2 transfected cells (Figure 3B). Live-cell imaging of EphB2 transfected cells stimulated with ephrin-B revealed an increase of GPhos signal in single optical sections within only GPhosEphB transfected cells, but not within cells transfected with GPhosEphA (GPhosEphB: n = 6; GPhosEphA: n = 4; p < 0.0001; two-way ANOVA; Figures 3C and 3D; Video S2). GPhosEphB also effectively reported rapid changes in EphB2 activation and the activity of EphB1 and EphB3 (Figures S2E-S2K). Similarly, ephrin-A stimulation of cells transfected with EphA4 increased the GPhos signal in only the GPhosEphA transfected cells (GPhosEphB: n = 10; GPhosEphA: n = 10; p < 0.0001; two-way ANOVA; Figures 3E and 3F; Video S3). The increase in ratiometric indicator signal was similar to the time course of receptor activation visualized by western blot analysis (Figures 3D and 3F). Importantly, the majority of the GPhos signal was found near the cell membrane (Figures 3C and 3E), suggesting that the indicators can report spatially restricted signals.

To determine whether the GPhosEphB indicator effectively reports EphB activity in neurons (which often express both EphBs and EphAs), we confirmed that transfection of the GPhos indicator does not affect synapse density (Figures S3C and S3D) and accurately reflects changes in EphB kinase activity (Figure S3E). We then tested the specificity of the GPhosEphB indicator for EphB activity in a triple kinase knockin (TKI) mouse model that allows kinase activity of EphBs, but not EphAs, to be selectively inhibited with 1-NA-PP1 (Figure S3F; Soskis et al., 2012). Treatment of GPhosEphB transfected neurons cultured from TKI mice with soluble ephrin-B resulted in a robust increase in GPhosEphB signal (Figures 3G and 3H; Video S4). However, 1-NA-PP1 application completely blocked the effects of ephrin-B treatment (Figures 3I and 3J; Video S5). Similarly, transfection of rat cortical neurons with dominant-negative EphB receptor blocked the ability of ephrin-B to induce GPhosEphB signal (Figures S3G and S3H). Together, these findings indicate that GPhosEphB can selectively and effectively report EphB kinase activity in neurons.

Restricted Spatial and Temporal Patterns of GPhos Indicator after Focal Activation by Ephrin-B1

To determine the spatial extent of EphB signaling in neurons, we examined the ability of axonal ephrin-B1 to induce dendritic

(F) Quantification of the effects of ephrin-A1 treatment (GPhosEphB: n = 10; GPhosEphA: n = 10; p < 0.0001; two-way ANOVA). **p < 0.001; ***p < 0.00

(H) Quantification of the effects of ephrin-B2 treatment on GPhos signal in transfected neurons (n = 11).

(I) Ratiometric images of TKI mouse neurons transfected with GPhosEphB indicator and blocked with 1-NA-PP1 before treatment with activated ephrin-B2. (J) Quantification of the effects of 1-NA-PP1 on ephrin-B treatment (n = 8). The pseudocolor lookup table (fire) indicates the ratiometric GPhos signal in neurons. The scale bars in C, E, G, and I represent 5 μm.

Error bars indicate SEM in (D), (F), (H), and (J). See also Figures S2 and S3 and Videos S2, S3, S4, and S5. Molecular weights shown in kD.

⁽C) Ratiometric pseudocolor images of single optical sections collected every three minutes from cells transfected with EphB2 and either GPhosEphB (top) or GPhosEphA (bottom). Cells were treated with activated ephrin-B2 as indicated by the orange bar. The pseudocolored lookup table (16 colors) indicates the ratiometric GPhos signal.

⁽D) Quantification of the effects of ephrin-B2 treatment on GPhos signal in HEK293T cells transfected with EphB2 (GPhosEphB: n = 6; GPhosEphA: n = 4; p < 0.0001; two-way ANOVA). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. Western blot shows phosphorylation state of the immunoprecipitated FLAG-EphB2 receptor at 5, 15, and 30 min after activation with ephrin-B2.

⁽E) As in (C), but ratiometric images of single optical sections were collected when HEK293T cells were transfected with EphA4 and either GPhosEphB or GPhosEphA. GPhosEphA signal increased with ephrin-A1 treatment.

⁽G) Ratiometric images of TKI mouse neurons transfected with GPhosEphB indicator and treated with activated ephrin-B2.



Figure 4. Focal Activation of EphB in Dendrites by Axonal Ephrin-B1

(A) An example of persistent contact between axonal mT2-eB1 puncta (cyan) and GPhosEphB (fire) transfected dendrite. Images of RFP channel at the last frame of 15-min movie were shown (pseudocolored, green). The region of interest (ROI) shows the contact between axonal mT2-eB1 puncta and GPhosEphB transfected dendrite (2 min and 15 min). Arrows indicate the colocalization of eB1 puncta (cyan) and persistent GPhos signal (fire).

(B) The RFP image (pseudocolored, green) after fixation is shown. Confocal image of EphB2 (red) colocalizes with eB1 puncta (cyan).

(C) Quantification of the colocalization of mT2-eB1, EphB2, and GPhos.

(D) As in (A), an example of persistent contact between axonal mT2-eB1 and GPhosEphB transfected dendrite. Dashed lines show the morphology of axons. Arrows indicate sites of contact. Arrowheads indicate control ROIs used in (E).

(E) Quantification of GPhos signal in (D).

(F) Quantification of summary data of GPhos signals in control and mT2-eB1 contacting sites. Data are shown as the average GPhosEphB signal when the mT2-eB1 puncta are in contact with the axon or in control ROIs (arrowheads in D; Ctrl: 1.00 ± 0.01 , n = 19; eB1: 1.23 ± 0.04 , n = 19; $p \le 0.001$; paired t test). *** $p \le 0.001$. (G) Examples of transient contact between moving axonal mT2-eB1 puncta and GPhosEphB transfected dendrite. Arrows and dashed lines are as in (D). (H) Quantification of GPhos signal in (G).

(I) As in (F), quantification of GPhos signal in control and mT2-eB1 contacting sites from pooled data (Ctrl: 1.00 ± 0.01 , n = 9; eB1: 1.24 ± 0.04 , n = 9; p ≤ 0.001 ; paired t test). *** p ≤ 0.001 . Control ROIs were selected at sites in dendritic shaft without axonal contacts and GPhosEphB signals. The scale bars in A, B, D, and G represent 2 μ m.

Error bars indicate SEM in (F) and (I). See also Figure S4 and Videos S6 and S7.

EphB signaling. mTurquoise2-tagged ephrin-B1 (mT2-eB1) was transfected into neurons. As expected from data in Figures 1 and S1 and our previous work (McClelland et al., 2009), mT2-eB1 localized into puncta on the surface of axons (Figure S4A). To visualize contacts between ephrin-B1-expressing axons (mT2-eB1 transfected, cyan) and EphB signaling in dendrites (GPhosEphB transfected, fire) neuronal cultures were first transfected with mT2-eB1 at DIV3–5 and then with GPhosEphB at

DIV5–7 (see STAR Methods for detail; Figures 4A, 4D, and 4G). Neurons were imaged once every 0.25–1 min for 15–30 min at DIV7–10. At sites of contact, the GPhosEphB signal induced by mT2-eB1 puncta was restricted to a small region near the apparent point of contact (Figure 4A). The average area of GPhosEphB signal (0.41 \pm 0.09 μ m²; n = 28) was significantly smaller than the average area of mT2-eB1 puncta (0.67 \pm 0.06 μ m²; n = 28; p = 0.02; paired t test). To test whether the

GPhosEphB signal was due to EphB2-ephrin-B1-mediated signaling, neurons containing ephrin-B1 puncta that maintained contact with dendrites during the 15- to 30-min imaging period were fixed and stained for EphB2 (Figure 4B; see STAR Methods for experimental detail). Retrospective analysis indicates that 80% of sites with persistent mT2-eB1 puncta had both EphB2 and GPhosEphB signals (12/15; n = 6; Figures 4A–4C). Together, these findings indicate that EphB kinase activation occurs in a focal area when activated by ephrin-B1.

Whereas many mT2-eB1 puncta remained stationary during the imaging period, some mT2-eB1 puncta move in a saltatory fashion within axons of transfected neurons (Videos S6 and S7). At sites of contact, GPhosEphB signals found within dendrites had different patterns of GPhosEphB activity, depending on the behavior of the mT2-eB1 puncta (Figure S4B). At dendrites contacting a stable mT2-eB1puncta (Figure 4D), GPhosEphB signals were persistent and significantly elevated (Ctrl: 1.00 \pm 0.01, n = 19; eB1: 1.23 \pm 0.04, n = 19; p \leq 0.001; paired t test; Figures 4D-4F; Video S6). In contrast, at sites of contact between dendrites and moving mT2-eB1 puncta, the GPhosEphB signal was significantly elevated only when the puncta were in apparent contact with the dendrite; the signal returned to the baseline after the mT2-eB1 puncta moved along the axon in a saltatory manner (Ctrl: 1.00 ± 0.01 , n = 9; eB1: 1.24 ± 0.04 , n = 9; p ≤ 0.001 ; paired t test; Figures 4G-4I; Video S7). Whereas the duration of these GPhos signals differed, the magnitude of the signals at persistent or transient mT2-eB1 puncta did not (persistent: 1.23 \pm 0.04 versus transient: 1.24 \pm 0.04; p = 0.885; t test). Thus, GPhosEphB can report both sustained and transient signals, and axonal ephrin-B1 induces a spatially restricted EphB activation at sites of axo-dendritic contact.

Dynamics of EphB Signaling Are Related to Filopodial Motility and Stabilization

Clusters of EphB2 are found in both moving and stable filopodia. To test whether differences in the spatial or temporal dynamics of EphB signaling may enable filopodia to decide whether to connect to or retract from a contact with an axon, we conducted live-cell imaging of neurons transfected with GPhosEphB and visualized contacts between dendritic filopodia and axons. Axons were visualized by transfecting a different set of neurons with mTurquoise2 (mT2) using the protocol described in the STAR Methods (cyan, Figures 5A and 5D). Images of dendrites contacted by axons were collected 30–60 min once every minute.

We observed two general types of filopodia: filopodia that moved during the imaging period (moving filopodia) and filopodia that remained in stable contact with an axon during the imaging period (connected filopodia). Similar to what we saw with mT2-eB1, EphBs were focally activated within both moving and connected filopodia, and this activity appeared as discrete puncta of GPhosEphB signals (Figures 5A and 5D). The area of the GPhosEphB signal in filopodia was not significantly different from the area of the signal induced by the axonal mT2-eB1 (mT2-eB1/GPhos puncta: $0.41 \pm 0.09 \ \mu m^2$, n = 28; endogenous GPhos puncta: $0.27 \pm 0.02 \ \mu m^2$, n = 53; p = 0.063; t test). These findings are consistent with our previous results that EphBs cluster in

moving and stable filopodia, and they support the notion that endogenous axonal ephrin-B1 (Figures 1A and S1A–S1F; McClelland et al., 2009) likely induce EphB activation in dendritic filopodia.

There were two types of behavior in moving dendritic filopodia: a filopodium contacted an axon and retracted, resulting in a transient axo-dendritic contact (Retracting filopodia) or a filopodium extended to contact and remained in contact with the axon to generate a stable axo-dendritic contact (Connecting filopodia). Both retracting and connecting filopodia showed increased levels of EphB kinase activation relative to the dendritic shaft. Remarkably, distinct patterns of EphB kinase activity reliably predicted whether a filopodium retracted from an axon or was stabilized and remained in contact with an axon. The differences in EphB2 kinase behavior were not reflected in the average area of activation in retracting or connecting filopodia (Retracting: 0.26 \pm 0.04 μ m², n = 9; Connecting: 0.22 \pm 0.04 μ m², n = 12; p = 0.483; t test). Instead, the behavior appeared to be linked to the rate of activation of the GPhosEphB signal.

To begin to determine whether magnitude or rate of EphB activation might drive differences in filopodial behavior, we examined the kinetics of the GPhos signal in retracting and connecting filopodia. After the initial contact with axons, the average GPhosEphB signal in retracting filopodia increased rapidly to reach a maximum of ~140% of baseline dendritic levels before retraction (Figures 5A-5C; see STAR Methods for detail of analysis; n = 9; Video S8). In contrast, the average GPhosEphB signal in connecting filopodia reached the maximum after ${\sim}3$ min (136%; n = 12; Figures 5D–5F; Video S9) and remained elevated after initial contact. Despite the functional difference in the behavior of these filopodia, there was no significant difference between the maximum increase in the EphB signal between retracting and connecting filopodia (Retracting: $136\% \pm 7\%$, n = 9; Connecting: $136\% \pm 10\%$, n = 12; p = 0.145; Mann-Whitney U test). In contrast, the time from the baseline was significantly different between retracting and connecting filopodia (time to peak: Retracting: 1.4 ± 0.2 min, n = 9; Connecting: 6.8 ± 1.7 min, n = 12; p = 0.017; Mann-Whitney U test). These findings suggest that the kinetics of EphB kinase activity within individual filopodia may drive specific filopodial behaviors.

To test whether differences in the kinetics of EphB kinase activity determine how filopodia behave, we next calculated the rate of change in EphB kinase activity between retracting and connecting filopodia (Figures 5G and 5H). Although the maximum signal increases in retracting and connecting filopodia are not significantly different, the rate of increase (slope) in GPhosEphB activity in the retracting filopodia was significantly faster than that in the connecting filopodia (Retracting: 0.31 ± 0.04, n = 9; Connecting: 0.17 ± 0.04, n = 12; p = 0.021; Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 5I). These findings suggest that the rate of the rising phase of EphB activity may determine whether filopodia initiate synapse formation or retract and seek new axonal contacts. Consistent with this possibility, key features related to kinase activity (including signal slope, amplitude, and variance) were sufficient to decode whether a filopodium remained in contact with an axon or retracted at a rate significantly above chance (98% classification efficiency with held-out validation; range 92.5%-100%; Figure S5). These data support a model where

A Retracting filopodia



rapid (\leq 1 min) activation of EphBs induces filopodial retraction, whereas sustained or slow and more variable activation of EphBs induces filopodial stabilization.

EphB Signaling Controls Filopodial Movement

To investigate how EphB signaling controls filopodial behavior, we first examined how bath application of activated soluble

Figure 5. Distinctive Patterns of EphB Activity in Retracting and Connecting Filopodia

(A) An example of retracting filopodia. Axons are labeled with mTurquoise2 and indicated by dashed lines. Ratiometric images (pseudocolored) show transient high GPhosEphB signal (middle panel). Arrowhead indicates focal GPhosEphB signal (ROI).

(B) Quantification of GPhosEphB signal in (A).

(C) GPhosEphB signal in the retracting filopodia group. Gray lines indicate GPhos signal in individual filopodia, and the green line represents mean (n = 9). Time 0 indicates the time filopodia contact a labeled axon (vertical dashed line). The bar indicates the fraction of filopodia in contact with the axons (black, 100%; white, 0%). Control mean shaft GPhosEphB is indicated by the black line.

(D) An example of connecting filopodia as in (A).(E) Quantification of GPhosEphB signal in (D).

(F) As in (C), but the mean GPhosEphB signal in the connecting filopodia is shown as a red line (n = 12).
(G) Illustration of retracting and connecting filopodia behavior and EphB signaling. The slope was calculated as the difference between the baseline and the peak value divided by the time from the baseline to the peak.

(H) Comparison of the kinetics of average GPhosEphB signal. Retracting group was best fit with a peak model. The connecting group was best fit with an exponential model.

(I) The slope of GPhosEphB signal in retracting filopodia was significantly sharper than that in connecting filopodia (retracting: 0.31 ± 0.04 , n = 9; connecting: 0.17 ± 0.04 , n = 12; p = 0.021; Mann Whitney U test). *p < 0.05. The scale bars in A and D represent 2 μ m.

Error bars indicate SEM in (I). See also Figure S5 and Videos S8 and S9.

ephrin-B impacted filopodial movement (Dalva et al., 2000). Consistent with the model that sustained EphB2 kinase activation results in filopodial stabilization, the bath application of ephrin-B increased overall GPhos signal slowly (Figures S7E–S7G) and decreased filopodial movement (Figures 6A–6H).

The differences in EphB signaling in retracting and connecting filopodia suggest two possible models for how EphB controls the behavior of filopodia: (1) the decision might be controlled by the

magnitude of the signal or (2) the decision might be controlled by the rate of increase in the signal. To determine whether specific types of EphB signaling might cause certain filopodial behaviors, endogenous EphB receptor signaling was activated in GPhosEphB transfected neurons by focal application of fluorescently labeled activated soluble ephrin-B (647-eB), and live-cell imaging was conducted (Figure 6I).

If a difference in magnitude of the EphB signaling is responsible for filopodial retraction or stabilization, we expect stronger activation of EphB signaling might induce filopodial retraction, whereas weaker activation of EphB signaling might induce filopodial stabilization. However, the differences in filopodial behavior were not likely to be driven by the magnitude of EphB signaling because the maximum increase in amplitude of GPhosEphB signal at 647-eB puncta was not significantly different between groups (Retracting: 138% ± 5%, n = 33; Connecting: $136\% \pm 7\%$, n = 20; p = 0.515; Mann Whitney U test; Figures 6 and S6A-S6E). The average size of 647-eB puncta $(0.28 \pm 0.03 \ \mu m^2)$ in retracting filopodia was not significantly different from the average size of 647-eB puncta in connecting filopodia (0.27 \pm 0.03 μ m²; p = 0.726; t test). There was also no correlation between the size of 647-eB puncta and the GPhosEphB signal in either retracting or connecting group (Figure S6G). These findings suggest that ephrin-B concentration may not be related to cluster size. Regardless, whereas increases in EphB kinase activity are associated with changes in the motility of dendritic filopodia, the magnitude of EphB signaling alone cannot generate distinct filopodial behavior.

If the rate of EphB activation drives filopodial behavior, rapid increases in EphB activity should result in retraction whereas a slow increase in EphB activity should result in formation of a stable contact (Figure 5H). Consistent with our models, fast-rising EphB kinase activity caused filopodia to retract (Figure 6J; Video S10), whereas slowly rising EphB kinase activity resulted in stable contacts between filopodia and 647-eB puncta (time to the peak/Retracting: 1.4 ± 0.1 min, n = 31; time to the peak/Connecting: 5.6 \pm 1.1 min, n = 20; p \leq 0.001; Mann-Whitney U test; Figures 6J, 6K, and S6A-S6E; Videos S10 and S11). Moreover, the slopes of GPhosEphB signals in induced retracting versus connecting filopodia were significantly different (Retracting: 0.29 ± 0.03, n = 31; Connecting: 0.2 ± 0.04, n = 20; p = 0.033; Mann-Whitney U test; Figure S6F). Importantly, both the slopes and the time to peak in the ephrin-B-induced retracting and connecting groups were not significantly different from those found in neurons responding to endogenous ephrin-B cues (p > 0.1 for all comparisons; Mann-Whitney U test; Figures 5 and 6). These data support the hypothesis that EphB activity within microdomains of filopodia determines filopodial behavior.

To test whether the EphB signaling governing filopodial retraction versus connection resulting from the exogenous addition of ephrin-B was mediated by similar mechanisms as in the endogenous events, we asked whether the same unbiased classification criteria developed for classification of the endogenous EphB signals could be effective at classifying exogenously driven ephrin-B filopodial behavior. We found that a classifier trained on the endogenously activated GPhosEphB data was also effective at classifying exogenously activated GPhosEphB signals in filopodia (Figures 6L and 6M; 98% endogenous versus 91% exogenous classification efficiency with held-out validation; exogenous eB range 83.3%–100%). These data strongly support the hypothesis that EphB activity within microdomains of filopodia determines filopodial behavior.

To test directly whether rapid activation of EphB2 is sufficient to induce filopodial retraction, we engineered a photoactivatable form of EphB2 by fusing the cryptochrome 2 (CRY2) domain of Arabidopsis thaliana to full-length FLAG-tagged EphB2 (fEphB2) (Figure 7A). We generated several different constructs tagged with fluorescent proteins (mCherry or iRFP670) and fused with either a full-length CRY2 (EphB2-CRY2; Kennedy et al., 2010), an oligomerization-promoting variant of CRY2 (EphB2-Oligo; Taslimi et al., 2014), or a truncated human codon-optimized version of CRY2 (EphB2-CRY2hm; Chang et al., 2014). Stimulation with blue light (440 nm or 470 nm) resulted in EphB2 kinase activation (Figures 7B, S7C, and S7D). Kinase-dead versions (KD) of fEphB2-CRY2hm-iRFP670 (fEphB2-CRY2) showed no response to light stimulation or ephrin-B treatment (Figure 7C). fEphB2-CRY2 localized to the cell surface in neurons (Figures S7A and S7B). Consistent with published reports on CRY2 activation kinetics, photostimulation results in a rapid (15-30 s) phosphorylation of fEphB2-CRY2 with similar activation rates, regardless of photoactivation strength (Figures S7C-S7G; Kim et al., 2014). In contrast, ephrin-B treatment results in a slower activation of EphB kinase in neurons indicated by western blot (Figures S7C–S7G). Importantly, the kinetics of the rising phase of photoactivation of EphB2 is similar to the rate of EphB2 kinase activation found before filopodial retraction (Figures 5C and S7C-S7G).

To determine the impact of rapid EphB2 activation, we first asked whether EphB2 might mediate filopodial behavior in non-neuronal cells that contain dynamic filopodia. HEK293T cells were transfected with either fEphB2-CRY2 (wild-type [WT]) or fEphB2-KD-CRY2 (KD) and protected from room light exposure. Transfected cells were imaged every 10 s for 3–6 min before and after photoactivation of fEphB2-CRY2 with 470 nm light. Photostimulation resulted in a significant loss of filopodia within 10 s after EphB activation in WT, but not in KD transfected cells (Figures 7D–7F; Video S12). Photostimulation of EphB2 results in ~30% loss of filopodia by 90 s after stimulation (remaining filopodia: $68\% \pm 4\%$ versus 100%; n = 12; p < 0.001; paired t test; Figure 7E). These findings suggest that rapid activation of EphB2 can drive filopodial retraction in HEK293T cells.

To determine whether rapid activation of EphB2 might cause retraction of filopodia in neurons, cortical neurons were transfected with fEphB2-CRY2 (WT) or fEphB2-KD-CRY2 (KD) and tdTomato and imaged every 10 s for 3-6 min at DIV9-10. Regions of interest in transfected neurons were stimulated with a single scan of 470 nm light simultaneously with imaging (region of interest: noise region outlined by the dashed line at time = 0; Figure 7G; Video S13). There was no effect of photostimulation on filopodial movement or number in neurons transfected with KD (Figures 7G-7I; Video S13). However, photostimulation of neurons expressing WT drove significant increase in the percentage of retracting filopodia (40% \pm 8%, n = 21, in WT with stimulation versus Ctrl: $12\% \pm 9\%$, n = 11; p = 0.019; Fisher's least significant difference [LSD] post hoc; Figures 7G and 7H). Moreover, photostimulation of WT resulted in a significant decrease in the length of filopodia (p = 0.025; t test; Figure 7I). These findings indicate that rapid activation of EphB2 drives the retraction of filopodia in HEK293T cells and neurons and suggest that differences in the kinetics of EphB signals within filopodia control the decision to retract from or stabilize at a contact.

CellPress



Figure 6. The Dynamics of EphB Activity Determine Filopodial Behavior

Slow activation of EphB2 decreases filopodial movement.

(A) Dendritic filopodia before and after application of control (FC).

(B) Colored lines indicate the distance moved by each filopodium in (A).

(C) As in (A) but images before and after application of activated ephrin-B2.

(E) Quantification of GPhosEphB signal in control (before: 1.3 ± 0.04 ; after: 1.4 ± 0.09 ; n = 4; p = 0.358; paired t test).

(F) Quantification of distance moved in control (before: 0.6 ± 0.06 ; after: 0.5 ± 0.09 ; n = 4; p = 0.091; paired t test).

(G) Quantification of GPhosEphB signal in ephrin-B2-treated group (before: 1.1 ± 0.04 ; after: 1.5 ± 0.06 ; n = 4; p ≤ 0.001 ; paired t test). ***p ≤ 0.001 .

(H) Quantification of distance moved in ephrin-B2 treated group (before: 0.6 ± 0.04 ; after: 0.4 ± 0.05 ; n = 4; p = 0.013; paired t test). *p < 0.05.

(I) (Upper) Illustration of the focal application of activated ephrin-B. (Lower) Illustration of the EphB signaling induced by exogenous ephrin-B (cyan) is shown. (J) An example of retracting filopodia after ephrin-B treatment (cyan). Arrowheads indicate GPhosEphB signal.

⁽D) As in (B).

Differentiation We next asked whether filopodia making sustained contacts with axons had elevated EphB kinase activity. EphB kinase activity in connected filopodia was persistently and significantly elevated above baseline (average signal in filopodia: $118\% \pm 3\%$, n = 22; average baseline signal in shaft: 100% \pm 1%, n = 16; p \leq 0.001; t test; Figures 8A-8C; Video S14). The level of GPhosEphB activation within connected filopodia was similar to the levels found in connecting filopodia 20 min after initial contact (connected filopodia: $118\% \pm 3\%$, n = 22; connecting filopodia: $126\% \pm 6\%$, n = 12; p = 0.179; t test). These findings suggest that sustained EphB kinase signaling may be associated with long-lasting axo-dendritic contacts. Consistent with this hypothesis, elevated EphB kinase signaling was also found at sites of contact between a dendritic shaft and axon (Figures S8A-S8C), where many synapses form in immature neurons (Kayser et al., 2006, 2008).

Because EphB2 puncta are found in stable filopodia with functional release sites (Figure 2), we next sought to determine whether stable filopodia with elevated EphB activity are more likely to colocalize with presynaptic markers than stable filopodia with low levels of EphB activity. Retrospective analysis of GPhosEphB transfected neurons stained with the presynaptic marker VGLUT1 after live imaging (see STAR Methods for experimental detail) indicates that EphB signals are significantly higher in stable filopodia that colocalized with VGLUT1 puncta than in stable filopodia that did not colocalize with VGLUT1 puncta $(VGLUT1-: 110\% \pm 5\%, n = 20; VGLUT1+: 130\% \pm 4\%,$ n = 36; p = 0.005; t test; Figures 8D-8L; Video S15). These findings suggest that stable elevated levels of EphB kinase activity are found in filopodia at synaptic sites. Occasionally, we were able to observe moving filopodia that became stable during our imaging period (Figures S8D-S8G). Interestingly, these filopodia tended to be stabilized at sites that also colocalize with VGLUT1 puncta after reconstruction (Figure S8F). These findings are consistent with the model that sustained EphB activity results in connected filopodia and suggest that sustained EphB activity within stable filopodia may drive the decision of a filopodium to initiate synaptogenesis.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we find that EphB2 RTKs are positioned and signal to enable selection of appropriate synaptic contacts between neurons. EphB receptor tyrosine kinases are important for both synapse formation and the movement of dendritic filopodia (Kayser et al., 2008) and are clustered on the surface near the tips of filopodia. In stable filopodia, EphB2 colocalizes with ephrin-B1, VGLUT1, and synaptic release sites, indicating that EphBs are likely to initiate the formation of nascent synaptic contacts. Interestingly, both moving and stable filopodia contain clusters of EphB receptors, suggesting that localization of EphB proteins cannot explain the difference in filopodial behaviors. Visualization of the dynamics of EphB signaling in living neurons reveals distinct kinetics in filopodia that behave differently. Fast-rising EphB signaling is correlated with filopodial retraction, whereas slow-rising EphB signaling is found in filopodia that make stable contacts. Both retraction and stabilization require a certain similar threshold of activation. Stable filopodia with slightly elevated levels of EphB activity appear to be nascent synaptic sites. These data suggest that the dynamics of EphB kinase activity are linked to the behavior of filopodia and the decision to generate a synaptic contact. Thus, the process of partner selection by individual filopodia could be reduced to the integration of signaling by a single tyrosine kinase, EphB2, illustrating how local differences in signal kinetics can provide a model that may simplify the complex process of target selection and synaptogenesis.

CellPress

EphB Receptor Signaling and Filopodial Movement

It has long been appreciated that Eph-ephrin binding can induce repulsion and attraction (Flanagan and Vanderhaeghen, 1998). Unlike other cell adhesion molecules, such as netrins, which drive repulsion or attraction through binding of different receptors (Hong et al., 1999; Höpker et al., 1999), EphB-ephrin-B binding induces repulsion or attraction via the same receptor (McLaughlin et al., 2003; Zimmer et al., 2003). Previous work suggests that EphB functions are associated with differences in the amplitude of the EphB signals. For instance, in the tectum, EphB expressing interstitial branches of retinal ganglion cells can be repelled by high concentrations and attracted by low concentrations of ephrin-B1 (McLaughlin et al., 2003). It remains unclear, however, how EphB activation plays different roles in repulsion and attraction. Our data indicate that EphB signaling can occur much faster than previously known and that the rate of change in EphB signal rather than the absolute magnitude appears to enable the cell to discriminate differences, which allow for the initiation of distinct cellular behaviors.

During contact-mediated synaptogenesis, EphB signaling mediates both filopodial retraction and synapse formation (Kayser et al., 2008). Our data suggest that distinct EphB signaling in moving dendritic filopodia controls the decision of filopodia to retract or stay connected to an axonal partner. EphB kinase activation reached similar levels in both retracting and connecting filopodia, suggesting that the decision of a filopodia to retract or stay in contact with an axon is not solely dependent on the level of EphB activation. Instead, filopodial retraction appears to be driven by rapid increases in EphB signals, whereas stabilization of contacts is generated by slow-rising signals. Consistent with this model, slow EphB activation by bath application of ephrin-B reduces filopodia motility, whereas fast EphB

⁽K) An example of connecting filopodia after ephrin-B treatment as in (J).

⁽L) Graph of GPhosEphB in retracting (green) and connecting (red) filopodia (FP). Thin lines represent individual filopodial GPhosEphB signals. Means are thick lines.

⁽M) Graph depicting the mean, variance, and slope of individual filopodia that have made contact with presynaptic elements (green, retracting; red, connecting). Classifying filopodia using a trained linear kernel support vector machine (using held-out data) reveals that retracting and connecting filopodia can be correctly identified 91% of the time (classification accuracy range across restarts = 83%–100%). The scale bars in D, J, and K represent 2 μm. Error bars indicate SEM in (E)–(H). See also Figure S6 and Videos S10 and S11.



Figure 7. Fast Activation of EphB2 Induces Filopodial Retraction

(A) Design of photoactivatable EphB2. Xfp, fluorescent protein (mCherry or iRFP670).

(B) Western blots of HEK293T cells transfected with EphB2-CRY2 variants and treated with activated ephrin-B2 (30 min) or blue light (440 nm; 1 min; CRY2-cherry, fEphB2-CRY2-mCherry; Oligo-cherry, fEphB2-CRY2-Oligo-mCherry; CRY2hm-cherry, fEphB2-CRY2hm-mCherry; CRY2-iRFP670, fEphB2-CRY2-iRFP670). (C) Western blots of HEK293T cells transfected with wild-type (WT) or kinase-dead (KD) versions of fEphB2-CRY2hm-iRFP670 (fEphB2-CRY2) and treated with ephrin-B2 or blue light.

(D) HEK293T cells transfected with WT or KD versions of fEphB2-CRY2 and imaged every 10 s. Photostimulation was conducted by a single scan with the 470-nm laser. Arrows indicate filopodia retracting after photostimulation.

(E) Quantification of fEphB2-CRY2 transfected filopodia (FP) remaining after photostimulation (n = 12; *p = 0.013; **p = 0.002; ***p \leq 0.001; paired t test).

(F) Quantification of fEphB2-KD-CRY2 transfected filopodia remaining after photostimulation (n = 13; p > 0.05; paired t test).

(G) Neuron transfected with WT or KD versions of fEphB2-CRY2 and imaged every 10 s. Arrows are as in (D). Dashed lines show the border of photostimulated region.

(H) Quantification of filopodia retraction after photostimulation (PHS) (p = 0.025; ANOVA; WT-PHS+: n = 21, versus WT-PHS-: n = 11, p = 0.019; WT-PHS+: n = 21, versus KD-PHS+: n = 14, p = 0.036; post hoc: Fisher's LSD test).

(I) Quantification of the distance filopodia moved after photostimulation (WT-PHS+: n = 50 versus WT-PHS-: n = 25; p = 0.025; t test). The scale bars in D and G represent 2 μ m.

Error bars indicate SEM in (E), (F), (H), and (I). See also Figure S7 and Videos S12 and S13.

A Connected filopodia



Figure 8. EphB Signaling Is Elevated in Stable Filopodia and Colocalized with VGLUT1 Puncta

CellPress

(A) An example of connected filopodia (axonal contact maintained for >30 min) contacting an mT2-labeled axon. Dashed lines show the morphology of axons. Arrowheads indicate GPhosEphB signal.

(B) Quantification of GPhosEphB signal in (A).

(C) Quantification of GPhosEphB signal in the pooled dataset (ROI: n = 22, indicated by arrowheads; Ctrl: n = 16; p \leq 0.001; Kolmogorov-Smirnov [K-S] test).

(D) Arrowheads indicate a stable filopodium. Images of RFP channel at the last frame of 30-min movie and after fixation.

(E) Images of GPhosEphB signal in the filopodium in (D).

(F) The same filopodium as in (D) and (E) shown overlaid with VGLUT1 staining (white). Dashed lines show the morphology of the transfected neuron. Arrowheads indicate GPhosEphB signal colocalized with VGLUT1.

(G) As in (D), arrows point to a stable filopodium.

(H) Images of GPhosEphB signal in the filopodium in (G).

(I) As in (F), the filopodium was shown overlaid with staining of VGLUT1.

(J) Quantification of GPhosEphB signal in the VGLUT1+ filopodium from (D)–(F).

(K) Quantification of GPhosEphB signal in the VGLUT1 – filopodium from (G)–(I).

(L) Average GPhos signal in VGLUT1+ filopodia was significantly higher than that in VGLUT1- filopodia (VGLUT1+: 1.30 \pm 0.05, n = 36; VGLUT-: 1.10 \pm 0.04, n = 20; p = 0.005; t test). The scale bars in A, D, F, G, and I represent 2 μ m. **p < 0.01. Error bars indicate SEM in (C) and (L). See also Figure S8 and Videos S14 and S15.

Whether a filopodium retracts or stabilizes appears to be determined by kinetically distinct EphB signals. This model is supported by the findings that simple differences in the rate of EphB kinase activation are sufficient to drive distinct modes of filopodial behavior. Indeed, our data suggest that correct connections between dendrites and the appropriate axons are selected by the presence of two types of EphB-specific signaling. This model suggests that, in cases where EphB signaling is blocked, inappropriate EphB-ephrin-B interactions would fail to be rejected and incorrect connections

activation driven by photostimulation induces retraction. Photoactivation of EphB2 occurs over ten to fifteen seconds, suggesting that, to fully resolve the kinetics of EphB signaling in filopodia, EphB kinase activity needs to be imaged at a faster rate. These experiments may reveal additional kinetic components to decision making in filopodia. that are normally lost would be maintained; however, under these circumstances, synapse formation principally mediated by the EphB-ephrin-B interactions would be intact. These data are consistent with recent findings that downregulating EphB kinase activation while maintaining normal EphB-ephrin-B interactions has little impact on synapse number (Soskis et al., 2012). In these animals, we predict that EphB kinase blockade would result in defective target selection and generate defects in the specificity of synaptic connections. It will be important to test whether this is the case.

How are differences in the rate of these signals controlled? For calcium signaling, chelation of calcium ions controls both the duration and spatial extent of the signal (Augustine et al., 2003; Higley and Sabatini, 2012). Phosphatase activity may provide a similar constraint on intracellular EphB kinase signaling. Whereas work has focused on the function of a number of phosphatases in presynaptic differentiation (Takahashi and Craig, 2013), evidence for phosphatase activity (Pten and PP1) has also been linked to the regulation of filopodial motility and synapse formation (Fuentes et al., 2012; Luikart et al., 2008; Terry-Lorenzo et al., 2005). However, it is not clear whether these phosphatases might regulate EphB kinase function in filopodia. Whereas phosphatases are an attractive candidate for the regulation of EphB signaling, it is also possible that regulation of EphB activity is accomplished through another mechanism, such as receptor cleavage, endocytosis, or cis binding to ephrin ligands (Kania and Klein, 2016). Significant effort will be needed to determine the mechanism responsible for regulation of kinetics of EphB activity in filopodia.

EphB Receptor and the Initiation of Synapse Formation

During development, dendritic filopodia are involved in establishing axo-dendritic contacts, where nascent synapses can form within 30 min of initial contact (Friedman et al., 2000; Jin and Garner, 2008). Consistent with previous findings in EphB triple knockout animals and using short hairpin RNA (shRNA) knockdown (Henkemeyer et al., 2003; Kayser et al., 2006, 2008), our data indicate that EphBs are positioned and signal within neurons to control these key early steps in synaptogenesis and suggest that EphB signaling is linked to the choice of individual filopodia to differentiate into synapses.

To initiate new synaptic contacts, transsynaptic synaptogenic factors need to be positioned at the sites of contact between dendrites and axons. EphB2 appears to regulate both the stabilization of contacts and filopodial movement, providing a cue that may control the process of synaptic selection. Previous work indicates that EphB2 is required for ~40% of excitatory contacts made in cortex and functions postsynaptically by binding to its presynaptic ligand ephrin-B1 (Kayser et al., 2008; McClelland et al., 2009). Our super-resolution imaging indicates that, as synapses are forming, EphB2, ephrin-B1, and VGLUT1 colocalize in \sim 30% of filopodia. In addition, live-cell imaging experiments indicate that stable filopodia that contain EphB2 colocalize with functional synaptic release sites at a similar ratio and filopodia with elevated EphB kinase activity were significantly more likely to be found at synaptic sites. In filopodia that extend and make a stable contact with an axon, EphB signaling increases slowly and becomes sustained. This may enable recruitment of VGLUT1+ synaptic vesicles (Figure S8). Thus, EphBs localized in dendritic filopodia appear to actively signal to generate functional synaptic contacts. Then, through the well-established direct extracellular interactions between EphBs and the NMDAR and the intracellular interactions with adaptor proteins that bind to AMPARs, such as GRIP (Dalva et al.,

2007; Kayser et al., 2006; Sheffler-Collins and Dalva, 2012), EphB could then recruit additional synaptic proteins leading to the maturation of synapses.

Development of Genetically Encoded TK Indicators

Despite the importance of TKs for cell signaling and adaptive plasticity, there is little known regarding the dynamics of TK activity within living cells. GPhos indicators report EphB and EphA activity selectively, suggesting that the tool can selectively allow visualization of the dynamic TK signaling, enabling live-cell TK biochemistry. For instance, the activation kinetics of Eph kinases have long been thought to be slow (>15 min); however, the GPhosEphB indicator demonstrates that local changes in kinase activity occur rapidly (≤ 1 min). It will be important to determine the local kinetics of other TKs using GPhos-based reporters.

Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based genetically encoded indicators of kinase activity have been developed for monitoring ERK, Src, protein kinase A (PKA), PKB, and PKC activities (Oldach and Zhang, 2014). Our indicators adapt the core of circularly permutated EGFP similar to a genetically encoded calcium indicator (GCaMP) (Chen et al., 2013; Looger and Griesbeck, 2012; Nakai et al., 2001), flanked with the phosphorylation sites from specific kinases of interest. With GPhos, changes in kinase activity are visualized as increases in fluorescence intensity, making imaging of our probe relatively straightforward. Considering cell morphology, focus drift, background noise, and variance in transfection, we introduced a second fluorescent protein and fused it via a rigid α -helical linker into the indicator core. The GPhos dual-color system enables the normalization of changes in fluorescent intensity (GPhos) to stable baseline fluorescence (mRFP). This approach effectively attenuates variability and could be applied to other indicators, including those used for calcium.

Signaling Microdomains of Tyrosine Kinases

Little has been understood about the impact of the timing and spatial localization of kinase activity in the control of specific cellular behaviors. Our data provide an example of how differences in signaling kinetics of a kinase can result in distinct cellular outcomes. Eph signaling can drive a host of different cellular events from actin depolymerization, resulting in repulsion and growth cone collapse, to synapse formation and control of NMDAR synaptic localization (Kania and Klein, 2016; Sheffler-Collins and Dalva, 2012). Yet in each of these pathways, there appears to be little crosstalk. How can a single molecule function selectively in multiple downstream pathways within the postsynaptic complex? For EphB signaling in filopodia, it appears that specificity of signaling may be encoded in part by restricting the signal to a small domain or subregion of the cell. Thus, rather than generating large-scale, cell-wide changes, EphB signaling generates specificity by being both spatially and temporally restricted. We propose that distinct cellular behaviors driven by a single signaling protein can be controlled by differences in the pattern, localization, and timing of kinase signaling. Thus, similar to calcium (Augustine et al., 2003; Higley and Sabatini, 2012), microdomains of kinase

CellPress

activity within cells may be essential to generate specificity of tyrosine kinase signaling.

STAR***METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - Animals
 - Rat cortical neuronal culture
 - Mouse cortical neuronal culture
 - HEK293T cell culture
- METHOD DETAILS
 - Generation of the GPhos indicator core
 - Generation of the dual color indicator
 - Generation of the photoactivatable EphB2
 - Expression Constructs
 - HEK cell transfection
 - Transfection of neuronal culture
 - FM dye labeling
 - Antibodies for immunostaining
 - $\odot\;$ Immunostaining and live-cell surface staining
 - $\odot\;$ Treatment of the cells
 - $\odot~$ Synaptosome fractionation preparation
 - Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting
 - $\odot~$ Image acquisition
 - Registration of live-cell imaging and images after fixation
 - $\odot\,$ Modeling and analysis
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES
 - Image analysis
 - Statistical analysis

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes eight figures and fifteen videos and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.04.011.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of the Dalva laboratory and Dr. Le Ma (Jefferson) for helpful discussions and Dr. Michael Greenberg (Harvard Medical School) for providing the EphB TKI mice. S.R.D. is supported by grants RO1DC011558, RO11DC016222, and U01NS094191 from the NIH. M.B.D. is supported by RO1 grants RO1MH100093 and RO1DA022727 and a grant from the Vickie and Jack Farber Foundation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.-T.M. wrote the paper, refined the indicators, and designed and conducted experiments; J.X.Z. developed indicators and conducted and designed experiments; K.H. conducted experiments; S.R.D. and G.I. conducted modeling experiments; and M.B.D. wrote the paper, designed experiments, and conceived the design of indicators.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial interests. A US Patent (9012617) has been issued to M.B.D. and J.X.Z. for GPhos and use of TK indicators.

Received: August 18, 2016 Revised: October 26, 2017 Accepted: April 9, 2018 Published: May 3, 2018

REFERENCES

Arai, R., Wriggers, W., Nishikawa, Y., Nagamune, T., and Fujisawa, T. (2004). Conformations of variably linked chimeric proteins evaluated by synchrotron X-ray small-angle scattering. Proteins *57*, 829–838.

Augustine, G.J., Santamaria, F., and Tanaka, K. (2003). Local calcium signaling in neurons. Neuron *40*, 331–346.

Campbell, R.E., Tour, O., Palmer, A.E., Steinbach, P.A., Baird, G.S., Zacharias, D.A., and Tsien, R.Y. (2002). A monomeric red fluorescent protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *99*, 7877–7882.

Carroll, R.C., Beattie, E.C., Xia, H., Lüscher, C., Altschuler, Y., Nicoll, R.A., Malenka, R.C., and von Zastrow, M. (1999). Dynamin-dependent endocytosis of ionotropic glutamate receptors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *96*, 14112–14117.

Chang, K.Y., Woo, D., Jung, H., Lee, S., Kim, S., Won, J., Kyung, T., Park, H., Kim, N., Yang, H.W., et al. (2014). Light-inducible receptor tyrosine kinases that regulate neurotrophin signalling. Nat. Commun. *5*, 4057.

Chen, T.W., Wardill, T.J., Sun, Y., Pulver, S.R., Renninger, S.L., Baohan, A., Schreiter, E.R., Kerr, R.A., Orger, M.B., Jayaraman, V., et al. (2013). Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. Nature *499*, 295–300.

Dalva, M.B., Takasu, M.A., Lin, M.Z., Shamah, S.M., Hu, L., Gale, N.W., and Greenberg, M.E. (2000). EphB receptors interact with NMDA receptors and regulate excitatory synapse formation. Cell *103*, 945–956.

Dalva, M.B., McClelland, A.C., and Kayser, M.S. (2007). Cell adhesion molecules: signalling functions at the synapse. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 8, 206–220.

Duan, L., Hope, J., Ong, Q., Lou, H.Y., Kim, N., McCarthy, C., Acero, V., Lin, M.Z., and Cui, B. (2017). Understanding CRY2 interactions for optical control of intracellular signaling. Nat. Commun. *8*, 547.

Feldman, D.E. (2012). The spike-timing dependence of plasticity. Neuron 75, 556–571.

Flanagan, J.G., and Vanderhaeghen, P. (1998). The ephrins and Eph receptors in neural development. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 21, 309–345.

Friedman, H.V., Bresler, T., Garner, C.C., and Ziv, N.E. (2000). Assembly of new individual excitatory synapses: time course and temporal order of synaptic molecule recruitment. Neuron 27, 57–69.

Fuentes, F., Zimmer, D., Atienza, M., Schottenfeld, J., Penkala, I., Bale, T., Bence, K.K., and Arregui, C.O. (2012). Protein tyrosine phosphatase PTP1B is involved in hippocampal synapse formation and learning. PLoS ONE *7*, e41536.

Goedhart, J., von Stetten, D., Noirclerc-Savoye, M., Lelimousin, M., Joosen, L., Hink, M.A., van Weeren, L., Gadella, T.W., Jr., and Royant, A. (2012). Structure-guided evolution of cyan fluorescent proteins towards a quantum yield of 93%. Nat. Commun. *3*, 751.

Gurd, J.W., Jones, L.R., Mahler, H.R., and Moore, W.J. (1974). Isolation and partial characterization of rat brain synaptic plasma membranes. J. Neurochem. *22*, 281–290.

Hanamura, K., Washburn, H.R., Sheffler-Collins, S.I., Xia, N.L., Henderson, N., Tillu, D.V., Hassler, S., Spellman, D.S., Zhang, G., Neubert, T.A., et al. (2017). Extracellular phosphorylation of a receptor tyrosine kinase controls synaptic localization of NMDA receptors and regulates pathological pain. PLoS Biol. *15*, e2002457.

Henkemeyer, M., Itkis, O.S., Ngo, M., Hickmott, P.W., and Ethell, I.M. (2003). Multiple EphB receptor tyrosine kinases shape dendritic spines in the hippocampus. J. Cell Biol. *163*, 1313–1326.

Higley, M.J., and Sabatini, B.L. (2012). Calcium signaling in dendritic spines. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. *4*, a005686.

Hilgenberg, L.G., and Smith, M.A. (2007). Preparation of dissociated mouse cortical neuron cultures. J. Vis. Exp. (10), 562.

Hong, K., Hinck, L., Nishiyama, M., Poo, M.M., Tessier-Lavigne, M., and Stein, E. (1999). A ligand-gated association between cytoplasmic domains of UNC5 and DCC family receptors converts netrin-induced growth cone attraction to repulsion. Cell *97*, 927–941.

Höpker, V.H., Shewan, D., Tessier-Lavigne, M., Poo, M., and Holt, C. (1999). Growth-cone attraction to netrin-1 is converted to repulsion by laminin-1. Nature *401*, 69–73.

Hruska, M., Henderson, N.T., Xia, N.L., Le Marchand, S.J., and Dalva, M.B. (2015). Anchoring and synaptic stability of PSD-95 is driven by ephrin-B3. Nat. Neurosci. *18*, 1594–1605.

Jin, Y., and Garner, C.C. (2008). Molecular mechanisms of presynaptic differentiation. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 24, 237–262.

Kania, A., and Klein, R. (2016). Mechanisms of ephrin-Eph signalling in development, physiology and disease. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *17*, 240–256.

Kasthuri, N., Hayworth, K.J., Berger, D.R., Schalek, R.L., Conchello, J.A., Knowles-Barley, S., Lee, D., Vázquez-Reina, A., Kaynig, V., Jones, T.R., et al. (2015). Saturated reconstruction of a volume of neocortex. Cell *162*, 648–661.

Kayser, M.S., McClelland, A.C., Hughes, E.G., and Dalva, M.B. (2006). Intracellular and trans-synaptic regulation of glutamatergic synaptogenesis by EphB receptors. J. Neurosci. 26, 12152–12164.

Kayser, M.S., Nolt, M.J., and Dalva, M.B. (2008). EphB receptors couple dendritic filopodia motility to synapse formation. Neuron 59, 56–69.

Kennedy, M.J., Hughes, R.M., Peteya, L.A., Schwartz, J.W., Ehlers, M.D., and Tucker, C.L. (2010). Rapid blue-light-mediated induction of protein interactions in living cells. Nat. Methods 7, 973–975.

Kim, N., Kim, J.M., Lee, M., Kim, C.Y., Chang, K.Y., and Heo, W.D. (2014). Spatiotemporal control of fibroblast growth factor receptor signals by blue light. Chem. Biol. *21*, 903–912.

Lai, K.O., and Ip, N.Y. (2009). Synapse development and plasticity: roles of ephrin/Eph receptor signaling. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. *19*, 275–283.

Lander, C., Zhang, H., and Hockfield, S. (1998). Neurons produce a neuronal cell surface-associated chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan. J. Neurosci. *18*, 174–183.

Looger, L.L., and Griesbeck, O. (2012). Genetically encoded neural activity indicators. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 22, 18–23.

Luikart, B.W., Zhang, W., Wayman, G.A., Kwon, C.H., Westbrook, G.L., and Parada, L.F. (2008). Neurotrophin-dependent dendritic filopodial motility: a convergence on PI3K signaling. J. Neurosci. *28*, 7006–7012.

McClelland, A.C., Sheffler-Collins, S.I., Kayser, M.S., and Dalva, M.B. (2009). Ephrin-B1 and ephrin-B2 mediate EphB-dependent presynaptic development via syntenin-1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *106*, 20487–20492.

McClelland, A.C., Hruska, M., Coenen, A.J., Henkemeyer, M., and Dalva, M.B. (2010). Trans-synaptic EphB2-ephrin-B3 interaction regulates excitatory synapse density by inhibition of postsynaptic MAPK signaling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *107*, 8830–8835.

McLaughlin, T., Hindges, R., Yates, P.A., and O'Leary, D.D. (2003). Bifunctional action of ephrin-B1 as a repellent and attractant to control bidirectional branch extension in dorsal-ventral retinotopic mapping. Development *130*, 2407–2418. Nakai, J., Ohkura, M., and Imoto, K. (2001). A high signal-to-noise Ca(2+) probe composed of a single green fluorescent protein. Nat. Biotechnol. *19*, 137–141.

Oldach, L., and Zhang, J. (2014). Genetically encoded fluorescent biosensors for live-cell visualization of protein phosphorylation. Chem. Biol. 21, 186–197.

Pawson, T. (2004). Specificity in signal transduction: from phosphotyrosine-SH2 domain interactions to complex cellular systems. Cell *116*, 191–203.

Perez de Arce, K., Schrod, N., Metzbower, S.W., Allgeyer, E., Kong, G.K., Tang, A.H., Krupp, A.J., Stein, V., Liu, X., Bewersdorf, J., et al. (2015). Topographic mapping of the synaptic cleft into adhesive nanodomains. Neuron *88*, 1165–1172.

Shcherbakova, D.M., and Verkhusha, V.V. (2013). Near-infrared fluorescent proteins for multicolor in vivo imaging. Nat. Methods 10, 751–754.

Sheffler-Collins, S.I., and Dalva, M.B. (2012). EphBs: an integral link between synaptic function and synaptopathies. Trends Neurosci. *35*, 293–304.

Shen, K., and Scheiffele, P. (2010). Genetics and cell biology of building specific synaptic connectivity. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 33, 473–507.

Songyang, Z., Shoelson, S.E., Chaudhuri, M., Gish, G., Pawson, T., Haser, W.G., King, F., Roberts, T., Ratnofsky, S., Lechleider, R.J., et al. (1993). SH2 domains recognize specific phosphopeptide sequences. Cell *72*, 767–778.

Soskis, M.J., Ho, H.Y., Bloodgood, B.L., Robichaux, M.A., Malik, A.N., Ataman, B., Rubin, A.A., Zieg, J., Zhang, C., Shokat, K.M., et al. (2012). A chemical genetic approach reveals distinct EphB signaling mechanisms during brain development. Nat. Neurosci. *15*, 1645–1654.

Takahashi, H., and Craig, A.M. (2013). Protein tyrosine phosphatases PTP δ , PTP σ , and LAR: presynaptic hubs for synapse organization. Trends Neurosci. 36, 522–534.

Takasu, M.A., Dalva, M.B., Zigmond, R.E., and Greenberg, M.E. (2002). Modulation of NMDA receptor-dependent calcium influx and gene expression through EphB receptors. Science *295*, 491–495.

Taslimi, A., Vrana, J.D., Chen, D., Borinskaya, S., Mayer, B.J., Kennedy, M.J., and Tucker, C.L. (2014). An optimized optogenetic clustering tool for probing protein interaction and function. Nat. Commun. *5*, 4925.

Terry-Lorenzo, R.T., Roadcap, D.W., Otsuka, T., Blanpied, T.A., Zamorano, P.L., Garner, C.C., Shenolikar, S., and Ehlers, M.D. (2005). Neurabin/protein phosphatase-1 complex regulates dendritic spine morphogenesis and maturation. Mol. Biol. Cell *16*, 2349–2362.

Valnes, K., and Brandtzaeg, P. (1985). Retardation of immunofluorescence fading during microscopy. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 33, 755–761.

Wilhelm, B.G., Groemer, T.W., and Rizzoli, S.O. (2010). The same synaptic vesicles drive active and spontaneous release. Nat. Neurosci. 13, 1454–1456.

Xia, Z., Dudek, H., Miranti, C., and Greenberg, M. (1996). Calcium influx via the NMDA receptor induces immediate early gene transcription by a MAP kinase/ ERK-dependent mechanism. J. Neurosci. *16*, 5425–5436.

Yuste, R., and Konnerth, A. (2005). Imaging in Neuroscience and Development: A Laboratory Manual (Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press).

Zhou, X.X., Chung, H.K., Lam, A.J., and Lin, M.Z. (2012). Optical control of protein activity by fluorescent protein domains. Science 338, 810–814.

Zimmer, M., Palmer, A., Köhler, J., and Klein, R. (2003). EphB-ephrinB bidirectional endocytosis terminates adhesion allowing contact mediated repulsion. Nat. Cell Biol. *5*, 869–878.

STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Goat anti-EphB2	R&D Systems	Cat#: AF467;RRID: AB_355375
Mouse anti-EphB2	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: 37-1700;RRID: AB_2533302
Mouse anti-HA	Biolegend	Cat#: 901502;RRID: AB_2565007
Mouse anti-FLAG	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: F1804;RRID: AB_262044
Mouse anti-FLAG	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: F3165;RRID: AB_259529
Rabbit anti-FLAG	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: F7425;RRID: AB_439687
Goat anti-EphrinB1	R&D Systems	Cat#: AF473;RRID: AB_2293419
Guinea pig anti-VGLUT1	Millipore	Cat#: AB5905;RRID: AB_2301751
Mouse PY99(p-Tyr)	Santa Cruz	Cat#: sc-7020;RRID: AB_628123
Rabbit anti-p*EphB2	Laboratory of Greenberg	N/A
Rabbit anti-GFP	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: A-6455;RRID: AB_221570
Rabbit anti-NR1 CT	Millipore	Cat#: 05-432;RRID: AB_390129
Rabbit anti-Vamp1/2/3	Synaptic Systems	Cat#: 104 102;RRID: AB_887814
Mouse anti-synaptophysin	Synaptic Systems	Cat#: 101 001;RRID: AB_2617061
Mouse anti-psd95 K28/43	Neuronmab	Cat#: 73-028;RRID: AB_10698024
Rabbit anti-actin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: A2103;RRID: AB_476694
HRP-conjugated anti-Goat IgG	R&D Systems	Cat#: HAF019;RRID: AB_573132
Bacterial and Virus Strains		
One Shot Stbl3 Chemically Competent E. Coli	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: C737303
One Shot Mach1 T1 Chemically Competent E. Coli	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: C862003
Biological Samples		
P21 CD-1 mouse brain	This paper	N/A
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
FM4-64	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#: T13320
Mouse EphrinB2 Fc	R&D Systems	Cat#: 496-EB
Mouse EphrinB1 Fc	R&D Systems	Cat#: 473-EB
Mouse EphrinA1 Fc	R&D Systems	Cat#: 602-A1
Human IgG1 Fc	R&D Systems	Cat#: 110-HG
Donkey anti-human IgG	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat#: 709-005-149;RRID: AB_2340483
647-Donkey anti-human IgG	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat#: 709-605-149;RRID: AB_2340578
1-NA-PP1	Cayman	Cat#: 221243-82-9
TPA	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#:16561-29-8
PP2	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#:p0042
Papain	Worthington Biochemical Corporation	Cat#:LS003126
Trypsin inhibitor	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#:T9253-5G
APV	Tocris	Cat#:0106
Critical Commercial Assays		
Gateway BP Clonase II Enzyme mix	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#:11789020
Gateway LR Clonase II Enzyme mix	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#:11791100
Mutisite Gateway pro plus	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#:12537100
Rapid DNA Ligation kit	Roche	Cat#:11635379001
		0-111-5 540

(Continued on next page)

Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Proteinase inhibitor cocktail	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#:P2714-1BTL
Experimental Models: Cell Lines		
HEK293T	Laboratory of Greenberg	N/A
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
EphB1,B2,B3 knock-in mouse	Soskis et al., 2012	N/A
CD-1 mouse	Charles River	Strain code:022
Long Evans rat	Charles River	Strain code:006
Recombinant DNA		
Plasmid:pcDNA3_fEphB2	Dalva et al., 2000	N/A
Plasmid:pcDNA3_fEphB2_KD	Dalva et al., 2000	N/A
Plasmid:pcDNA3_fEphB1	Hanamura et al., 2017	N/A
Plasmid:pcDNA3_EphB3	Dalva et al., 2000	N/A
Plasmid:pcDNA3_EphB2YFP	Kayser et al., 2008	N/A
Plasmid:Syn_ephB2YFP	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:pcDNA3_fEphA4	Dalva et al., 2000	N/A
Plasmid:pcDNA3_EphB2DN	Dalva et al., 2000	N/A
Plasmid:pcDNA3_FynDN	Takasu et al., 2002	N/A
Plasmid:pcDNA3_FynCA	Takasu et al., 2002	N/A
Plasmid:pGP-CMV-GcaMP6s	Chen et al., 2013	Addgene#: 40753
Plasmid:GCaMP3	Nakai et al., 2001	N/A
Plasmid:pNCS mRFP	Campbell et al., 2002	Addgene#: 91765
Plasmid:pFUG_mRFPGPhos6EphB2	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:N1_mRFPGPhos3EphA4	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:N1_mRFPGPhos3EphB2	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:pcDNA3-mNeptune2-N	Zhou et al., 2012	Addgene#: 41645
Plasmid:pCAG_mNeptune	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:piRFP670-N1	Shcherbakova and Verkhusha, 2013	Addgene#: 45457
Plasmid:pLifeAct-mturquoise2	Goedhart et al., 2012	Addgene#: 36201
Plasmid:pFUG mT2-ephrin-B1	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:Syn_mturquoise	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:Syn_tdTomato	(Hruska et al., 2015	N/A
Plasmid:pCRY2FL-mCherryN1(WT)	Kennedy et al., 2010	Addgene#: 26871
Plasmid:CRY2Olig-mCherry	Taslimi et al., 2014	Addgene#: 60032
Plasmid:pCMV-CRY2-mCherry(hm)	Chang et al., 2014	Addgene#: 58368
Plasmid:pFUG_fB2CRYcherry_WT	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:pFUG_fB2CRYcherry_Oligo	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:pFUG_fB2CRYcherry_hm	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:pFUG_fB2CRYiRFP670_WT	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:pFUG_fB2CRYiRFP670_hm	This paper	N/A
Plasmid:pFUG_fB2CRYiRFP670_hm_KD	This paper	N/A
Software and Algorithms		
ImageJ	NIH	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
Leica SP5 LAS AF	Leica	https://www.leica-microsystems.com/products/ confocal-microscopes/details/product/leica-tcs-sp5/
Prism 7	Graphpad	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/ prism/; RRID: SCR_002798

(Continued on next page)

CellPress

Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Sigmaplot	Systat Softwar Inc.	https://systatsoftware.com/products/sigmaplot/; RRID:SCR_003210
Adobe Illustrator CS3	Adobe	http://www.adobe.com/products/illustrator.html; RRID: SCR_010279
Adobe Photoshop CS3	Adobe	https://www.adobe.com/products/photoshop.html; RRID: SCR_014199
MATLAB	Mathworks	https://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html
Other		
Leica TCS SP5 CW microscope	Leica	https://www.leica-microsystems.com/products/ confocal-microscopes/details/product/leica-tcs-sp5/
		https://www.leica-microsystems.com/products/ confocal-microscopes/details/product/leica-tcs- sted-cw/
Leica TCS SP8 3D STED microscope	Leica	https://www.leica-microsystems.com/products/ confocal-microscopes/details/product/leica-tcs- sp8-x/
Leica TCS SP2 microscope	Leica	https://www.leica-microsystems.com/products/ confocal-microscopes/details/product/leica-tcs-sp2/
Yokagowa spinning disk CSU 10	Yokagowa	https://www.yokogawa.com/solutions/products- platforms/life-science/confocal-scanner-unit-csu/ csu10/

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Matthew B. Dalva (matthew.dalva@jefferson.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals

All animal studies were performed according to the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines at Thomas Jefferson University. Triple kinase knock-in (TKI) mice with point-mutations to the kinase domains of EphB1, EphB2, and EphB3 were maintained on a 12 hr light/dark cycle and accommodated in breeding pairs (Soskis et al., 2012). E17-18 time pregnant Long Evans rats and wild-type CD-1 mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories.

Rat cortical neuronal culture

Unless indicated specifically, cultured cortical neurons were used throughout the paper. Cortical neuron cultures were generated from E17-18 Long Evans rats as previously described (Dalva et al., 2000; Kayser et al., 2006). Embryos were harvested at E17-18 and brains were isolated in ice-cold HEPES buffered (20 mM) Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS). Meninges were removed using fine forceps. The striatum and hippocampi were separated and discarded and cortices were collected. Cortices were incubated with 10 u/ml papain (Worthington Biochemical Corporation) in HBSS for 2-5 minutes at 37°C. After three washes in HBSS with 0.01 g/ml trypsin inhibitor (Sigma), the corticies were gently triturated with fire-polished glass Pasteur pipette 10-15 times to obtain a homogeneous cell suspension. Bubbling was avoided and the pipette was maintained within the cell suspension during the trituration. Dissociated neurons were plated on poly-D-lysine and laminin (both from BD Biosciences) coated glass coverslips (12mm, 150-200K/coverslip), 35mm glass dishes (250K/dish), 6-well plates (2M/well), or 10 cm dishes (8M/dish). Neurons were cultured in Neurobasal medium (Invitrogen), supplemented with B-27 supplement (Invitrogen), glutamine (Sigma), and penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma), and maintained in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C (Kayser et al., 2006).

Mouse cortical neuronal culture

Mouse neurons were dissociated from brains of newborn pups (postnatal day 1) with knock-in mutations of EphB1, EphB2, and EphB3 (Soskis et al., 2012). The mouse neuron dissociation protocol was adapted from (Hilgenberg and Smith, 2007; Kayser et al., 2008). Dissection of mouse brains was similar to that for rat brains. To improve neuronal survival, a dissecting solution was used as described (Hilgenberg and Smith, 2007). After brain dissection and incubation with papain, mouse cortices were washed

with 2 HI (high inhibitor solution, 10 mg/ml trypsin inhibitor, 10 mg/ml BSA, 50 µM APV) and 3 LI (low inhibitor solution, 1 mg/ml trypsin inhibitor, 1 mg/ml BSA, 50 µM APV). Cortices were triturated 4-5 times through a fire-polished Pasteur pipette. The cell suspension was spun at 200 rpm for 30 s at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred into a new tube and spun at 800 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in Neurobasal medium with the supplements as described above. Neurons were plated on 35mm glass dishes (500K/dish), 6-well plates (2M/well), or 10 cm dishes (8M/dish).

HEK293T cell culture

HEK293T cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (Invitrogen), 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone, Atlanta Biologicals), penicillin/streptomycin, and glutamine.

METHOD DETAILS

Generation of the GPhos indicator core

The indicator (GPhos) consists of a single circularly-permutated GFP core adapted from GCaMP (Nakai et al., 2001), two N-terminal consensus phosphorylation sites, and a C-terminal relatively promiscuous SH2 domain (Figure 3A) (Songyang et al., 1993). Each fragment was fused by overhang restriction enzyme sites (Figure 3A). With the available updated versions of GCaMP, we have continually upgraded our GPhos core with GCaMP6 (Chen et al., 2013). In the following description, uppercase indicates sequence of the targeted protein and lower case indicates bases added for cloning purposes. For GPhosEphB and GPhosEphA, the selected sites were from the juxtamembrane tyrosine phosphorylation domain of EphB2 (AA573-582) and EphA4 (AA596-605). The amino acid sequence used for the GPhosEphB indicator was gsYIDPFTYEDPag, encoded by the forward primer: 5'- gatctggatccTATATA GACCCTTTCACCTATGAAGATCCTgccggcc -3'. For the GPhosEphA indicator, the amino acid sequence used was gsYVDPFT YEDPag, encoded by the forward primer: 5'- gatctggatccTATGTGGATCCCTTTACATACGAAGACCCCgccggcc -3'. For the GPhosFyn indicator, the amino acid sequence used was gsYEEIVGEFKIYEEImgle, encoded by the primer sequence 5'-ggatccTAC GAGGAGATCGTTGGTGAAATCTACGAAGAGATCatgggcctcgag -3'. Generation of the GPhos constructs was accomplished by annealing the appropriate forward and reverse primers to yield DNA fragments with overhangs for insertion into BgIII and XhoI sites. In addition, a unique BamHI was added to each primer to facilitate future cloning and enable rapid validation of appropriate insert integration. After phosphorylation, DNA fragments were cloned into the BgIII and Xhol sites using standard techniques (Figure 3A). Notably this approach enabled us to easily change the phosphorylation sites on the indicator. The second element of the GPhos indicator is the domain that selectively binds the phosphorylated tyrosines. For the initial indicators, we used the Fyn SH2 domain. We first generated primers to amplify a region from AA131 to AA255 of the mouse Fyn kinase. This region contains the SH2 domain, flanked by 17 N-terminal amino acids and 12 C-terminal amino acids. N-terminal primers contained an Mlul site while C-terminal primers had a Notl site to enable cloning into the vector. Again, to facilitate future cloning and enable rapid validation of insert integration, we added an Agel site before the Notl site in the C-terminal primer.

Generation of the dual color indicator

To generate the rigid linker connecting the second fluorescent protein to the GPhos indicator, we used a DNA fragment encoding 5-alpha helical turns. The amino acid sequence used to generate the rigid linker was LAEAAAKEAAAKEAAAKEAAAKEAAAKEAAAKAAA (Arai et al., 2004). Forward and reverse primers were designed with 5' Bglll and 3' BamHI overhangs, annealed together, then cloned into the GPhos vector via the Bglll and BamHI sites. A unique Sall site was added at the 5' end after the Bglll site to enable cloning of the fluorescent molecule. The primers to amplify full-length mRFP contained a 5' Bglll and 3' Sall site. After PCR, the fragment was ligated to the N terminus of the GPhos core via Bglll and Sall sites in front of the 5-alpha helical linker. After introducing the second fluorescent molecule and modification, we failed to detect any FRET (not shown), suggesting that dual-color ratiometric indicators are resistant to FRET.

Generation of the photoactivatable EphB2

To generate photoactivatable EphB2, FLAG-tagged EphB2 (fEphB2) (Dalva et al., 2000) was cloned into the pDONR 221 vector (Gateway Cloning, Invitrogen) to make the entry clone pENTR fEphB2. Then three restriction enzyme sites (Mfel, BamHI, Ndel) were introduced before the EphB PDZ binding domain by site-directed mutagenesis. The location of the restriction enzyme sites was chosen based on the location of YFP in our EphB2-YFP construct (Kayser et al., 2006). CRY2 (*Arabidopsis* cryptochrome 2)-mCherry was cloned by PCR with primers flanking the CRY2-mCherry sequence with Mfel and Ndel sites. The PCR fragment was digested, cut, and ligated into pENTR-fEphB2 with a ligation kit (Roche Applied Science) (Figure 7A). Then the pENTR-fEphB2-CRY2-mCherry was cloned into the destination vector by Gateway recombination to generate the expression clone pFUG-fEphB2-CRY2-mCherry (fEphB2-CRY2). Consistent with a previous finding that CRY2 homo-oligomerizes after blue light exposure (Chang et al., 2014; Duan et al., 2017), we also successfully induced EphB2 phosphorylation of fEphB2-CRY2 with blue light. Laser lines of 440, 470 and 530nm were tested. As expected only the 440nm and 470nm lasers were able to induce phosphorylation of EphB2 fused with various CRY2 domains. We first developed a number of photoactivatable EphB2 constructs based on different available versions of CRY2 and validated each of them (Chang et al., 2014; Taslimi et al., 2014). After testing fEphB2-CRY2 (Kennedy et al., 2010), fEphB2-CRY2-Oligo (Taslimi et al., 2014) and fEphB2-CRY2hm (Chang et al., 2014), we found that, while

all three were effectively photoactivated (Figure 7B), fEphB2-CRY2hm generated the most consistent results. To make fEphB2-CRY2hm compatible with transfection of tdTomato in neurons, we replaced mCherry in fEphB2-CRY2hm with iRFP670 (Shcherbakova and Verkhusha, 2013), which can be excited with 633nm laser light. fEphB2-KD-CRY2hm was generated from FLAG-tagged EphB2-KD (Dalva et al., 2000) using the same approach as described above for fEphB2. Then, fEphB2-CRY2hm-iRFP670 and fEphB2-KD-CRY2hm-iRFP670 were used for photostimulation in HEK cells and cultured neurons.

Expression Constructs

EphB2-YFP, FLAG-EphB2, FLAG-EphA4, FLAG-EphB1, EphB3, EphB2DN, FynDN, FynCA were generated and used previously (Dalva et al., 2000; Kayser et al., 2006; Takasu et al., 2002). mTurquoise2-ephrinB1 was generated by inserting mTurquoise2 (a gift from Dr. Joachim Goedhart (Goedhart et al., 2012) after the HA tag of HA-ephrin-B1(McClelland et al., 2009) using restriction enzyme sites and a ligation kit (Roche Applied Science). tdTomato and mRFP were gifts from Dr. Roger Tsien (Campbell et al., 2002). mNeptune (a gift from Dr. Michael Lin) (Zhou et al., 2012) and the GCaMP core domain (Chen et al., 2013) were obtained from Addgene. Using Gateway recombination (Invitrogen), DNA fragments were cloned into a pFUG vector containing a human ubiquitin promoter (hUb) (Hruska et al., 2015), a pENTR vector containing a Synapsin promoter (a gift from Dr. Peter Scheiffele), or a vector containing the pCAG promoter (a gift from Dr. Artur Kania). Both the pENTR vector and pCAG vector were converted to be Gateway compatible. A fragment of the *ccdB* gene (inhibiting *E. coli* growth) for negative selection, flanked by 5' attP5 and 3' attP4 sites for specific recombination, was cloned downstream of the promoters.

HEK cell transfection

HEK293T cells were transfected using the calcium phosphate precipitation method (Xia et al., 1996). Briefly, The pH of HeBS (274 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 1.4 mM Na₂HPO₄.7H₂O, 15 mM D-glucose, 42 mM HEPES) was adjusted by NaOH to yield pH from 7.03, 7.05, 7.07, 7.09, 7.11, to 7.14. HeBS was filter-sterilized, aliquoted and stored at -20° C. Each pH was tested to determine the one providing the best transfection efficiency. To prepare transfection mixture, 100 µL of HeBS with the most effective pH was added in an Eppendorf tube. In a different tube, 10 µL 2.5M CaCl₂ was diluted to 90 µL HEPES-buffered ddH₂O (2.5 mM HEPES). Then DNA was added into to CaCl₂-HEPES tube, mixed by pipetting 6-8 times and added dropwise to the HeBS tube to initiate precipitation. The mixture was bubbled 6-8 times and added on HEK cells (one well in 6-well plate) dropwise. Transfected cells were imaged or lysed 16-24 hours after transfection.

Transfection of neuronal culture

Neurons were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), as described previously (Kayser et al., 2006; McClelland et al., 2009). Transfection mixture was prepared (for two coverslips or one 35mm glass-bottom dish) as following: 2 µL Lipofectamine 2000 was added in 100 ul neurobasal medium (plain) in a polystyrene tube (USA scientific). DNA was added to 100 µL neurobasal medium in an Eppendorf tube. After 10 minutes, DNA mixture was slowly added to Lipofectamine mixture dropwise. The total 200 µL mixture was mixed by bubbling 3-5 times and incubated at room temperature for another 10 minutes. Before adding the mixture on neuronal culture, conditioned media of neuronal culture was replaced with warm plain neurobasal medium (300 ul for one well in 24-well plate and 800 ul for one 35mm glass-bottom dish) and kept in water bath at 37°C. The neuronal culture was incubated with the transfection mixture for 2 hours. Then transfection media was replaced with filter-sterilized warm conditioned media and the neuronal culture was returned to the incubator. For single transfection, neurons were transfected on DIV3-7 or DIV0 and imaged DIV7-10. For sequential transfections, neurons were first transfected with mTurquoise2 or mT2-ephrin-B1 on DIV3-5, then maintained in an incubator at 37°C. Two days later at DIV5-7, the same neurons were transfected with the second construct, GPho-sEphB. These two transfections normally resulted in different sets of neurons. Each set of neurons was transfected with only one construct. Live-cell imaging was conducted on DIV7-10. GPhosEphB signal in dendrites and dendritic filopodia was imaged at the sites in contact with axons expressing of mT2 or mT2-ephrin-B1. In the rare cases that neurons were cotransfected with both constructs (mTurquoise2 and GPhosEphB, or mT2-ephrin-B1 and GPhosEphB), they were excluded from imaging.

FM dye labeling

To examine cultured rat cortical neurons (DIV7-10), conditioned media was replaced with ACSF (140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 20 mM glucose, and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2) and neurons were incubated with FM4-64 (Molecular Probes, 10 µM) for 15 minutes. After three 10 minute rinses with ACSF, neurons were imaged for 30 minutes using a Leica SP5 Confocal microscope. The first frame was used to represent the loaded image. During the 30 minutes imaging time, FM4-64 was spontaneously unloaded. A final wash was given and a Z stack image was taken to represent the background image (Figure 2A) (Wilhelm et al., 2010). The loaded image was aligned and subtracted with the background image in ImageJ (NIH). The subtracted image was thresholded to show FM4-64 puncta and merged with the EphB2-YFP channel of the loaded image to show the colocalization of EphB2-YFP and FM4-64 puncta. The morphology and movement of dendritic filopodia were determined by cell-filling mTurquoise2. The EphB localization was determined by EphB2-YFP clusters.

Antibodies for immunostaining

The following primary antibodies were used for immunostaining: goat anti-EphB2 (1:200-1:500, R&D), mouse anti-EphB2 (1:200-1:500, Invitrogen), mouse anti-HA (1:1000, BioLegend), mouse anti-FLAG (1:2000,Sigma), rabbit anti-actin (1:1000, Sigma), goat anti-ephrin-B1 (1:200, R&D systems), guinea pig anti-VGLUT1 (1:5000, Millipore). Secondary antibodies Atto 425, Dylight 488 or Cy2, Dylight 647 or Cy5, and Cy3 from Jackson ImmunoResearch, Rockland or Abcam were used from 1:200 to 1:500.

Immunostaining and live-cell surface staining

Cultured rat neurons were washed once with ACSF. Then neurons were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and 2% sucrose for eight minutes. Neurons were washed three times with PBS and blocked with 1% ovalbumin and 0.2% cold water fish gelatin for one hour with 0.01% Saponin (Sigma). Next neurons were incubated with primary antibodies for 1-2 hours. After three washes with PBS, neurons were incubated with secondary antibodies for 45 minutes. Finally, neurons were washed three times with PBS and mounted with Mowiol (Valnes and Brandtzaeg, 1985).

For live-cell staining, live cultured rat neurons were incubated with primary antibodies at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for eight minutes (Hanamura et al., 2017; Lander et al., 1998; Perez de Arce et al., 2015). After one brief wash with warm ACSF, neurons were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and 2% sucrose for 8 minutes. Neurons were washed three times with PBS and blocked with 1% ovalbumin and 0.2% cold-water fish gelatin for one hour without detergent (to prevent permeabilization). Neurons were then incubated with secondary antibody for 45 minutes. Finally, neurons were washed three times with PBS and mounted with Mowiol. Some neurons were blocked again with blocking buffer containing Saponin (to permeabilize membranes) and then stained with the same primary antibody but a different secondary antibody to visualize the total expression of the protein. In acid-stripping experiments, after incubation with primary antibody, neurons were placed on ice and washed once with ice-cold ACSF and then incubated with 0.2M acetic acid/ 0.5M NaCl for 10 minutes (Carroll et al., 1999). Cells were washed with ice-cold PBS three times before fixation. Then the neurons were fixed, blocked, incubated with the secondary antibody, washed and mounted as described above.

Treatment of the cells

To block EphB activity, TKI mouse neurons were treated with 1-NA-PP1 (Cayman Chemical). 1-NA-PP1 was first dissolved in DMSO and then diluted in ACSF to a final concentration of 1 μ M. The same amount of DMSO without 1-NA-PP1 was diluted in ACSF and added to neurons to test whether DMSO would affect imaging. Considering the minimal amount of DMSO (0.25 ul in 1 mL ACSF), we did not find any change in GPhos signal (data not shown). TPA or PP2 (Sigma) was diluted in ACSF to a final concentration of 100 nM (TPA), 3 μ M (PP2). Mouse ephrin-B2-FC, ephrin-B1-FC, ephrin-A1-FC or FC (R&D Systems) was clustered with anti-human IgG FC (Jackson ImmunoResearch) and then diluted in ACSF or neurobasal to a final concentration of 250 ng/ml. For local application, glass pipettes were pulled by Flaming/Brown micropipette puller (tip opening ~3-5 μ m) and filled with clustered ephrin-B-FC. Micromanipulator (Narishige) controlled the fine positioning of the glass pipette near the imaged neuron (20-50 μ m). Ephrin-B-FC was given by gravity.

When HEK293T cells and neurons were prepared for photostimulation, after transfection, cells were protected from all light until imaged and care was taken to search for transfected cells using wavelengths that would not drive photoactivation (> 530nm). For initial validation of EphB2 photoactivatable constructs, dishes of transfected HEK293T cells were exposed to 440 nm laser light for 1 minute. The effects of light intensity on the kinetics of EphB phosphorylation were tested using 470nm (3mW, DC2100, Thorlabs) and 440 nm (25 mW, PSU-III-FDA, CNI laser) blue light because CRY2 can be activated effectively with these wavelengths (Kennedy et al., 2010). Finally, HEK cells were illuminated with 470 nm light for 5 s and then lysed at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 15 minutes or 440 nm light for 15 s and then lysed at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 15 minutes. Each experiment was repeated > 3 times.

Synaptosome fractionation preparation

Synaptosome fractionation was conducted as previously described (Gurd et al., 1974; Hanamura et al., 2017; Hruska et al., 2015). Briefly, P21 CD-1 mouse brain was homogenized on ice in HEPES–buffered sucrose (0.32 M sucrose, 4mM HEPES, pH7.4), containing fresh protease inhibitor and PMSF. The homogenized sample was centrifuged at 1000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C, and the supernatant (S1) was centrifuged at 10,000 g at 4°C to yield the crude synaptosomal pellet (P2). P2 was re-suspended in the HEPES-buffered sucrose, and centrifuged again to yield the washed crude synaptosomal fraction (P2'). P2' was lysed again by hypoosmotic shock using ice cold H₂O plus protease inhibitors. P2' was centrifuged at 25,000 g to yield a supernatant (S3) and a pellet (P3). P3 was resuspended and spun through a sucrose gradient (0.8M, 1.0M and 1.2M sucrose). Synaptic Plasma membranes (SPM) was recovered from the layer between 1.0 and 1.2M sucrose. SPM was re-suspended, added Triton X-100 to 0.5% and centrifuged at 32,000 g to yield the PSD-1T pellet. The PSD-1T pellet was re-suspended, added TritionX-100 to 0.5% and centrifuged at 200,000 g to yield the PSD-2T pellet. In a separate step, the PSD-1T was incubated with ice-cold 3% sarcosyl and centrifuged at 200,000 g to yield PSD-S pellet. The protein concentration of all fractions was measured using DCTM protein Assay (Bio-Rad). An equal amount of protein was denatured with boiled Laemelli sample buffer and loaded in each lane for western blotting. Primary antibodies used in this experiments are mouse anti-PSD95 (K28/43, 1:5000, Neuromab), rabbit anti-NR1 (GluN1) (1:500, Millipore), Rabbit anti-VAMP 1/2/3 (1:2500, Synaptic Systems), mouse anti-synaptophysin (1:5000, Synaptic Systems) and goat anti-ephrinB1(1:200, R&D systems).

Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting

Cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS and lysed in RIPA buffer (20mM Tris-CI pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA) containing fresh 10 mM NaF (Sigma), 1% NP40 (Thermo Scientific), 0.5% C₂₄H₃₉NaO₄ (sodium deoxycholate), 1mM Na₃VO₄, 1 mM PMSF and proteinase inhibitor cocktail (all from Sigma) (Dalva et al., 2000). Lysates were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 25 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was incubated with primary antibody (rabbit anti-GFP, Invitrogen; goat anti-EphB2, R&D systems; mouse or rabbit anti-FLAG, Sigma) for an hour. Then pre-incubation protein G agarose beads (blocked with 1% BSA in RIPA buffer) were added (Invitrogen) and rotated at 4°C for an hour. The beads were then washed three times and denatured with boiled Laemelli sample buffer. The immunoprecipitated proteins and lysates were separated on an SDS-PAGE gel (6%–15% gel depending on the molecular weight of the protein of interest) and transferred to PVDF membrane (Millipore). Immunoblots were blocked and probed for phosphorylated tyrosines using mouse monoclonal anti-p-Tyr (PY99, 1:100, Santa Cruz), or rabbit anti-EphB2 (1:500, R&D Systems), mouse anti-EphB2 (1:500, Invitrogen), or mouse or rabbit anti-GFP (1:2500, Invitrogen), goat anti-EphB2 (1:500, R&D Systems), mouse anti-EphB2 (1:500, Invitrogen), or mouse or rabbit anti-FLAG (1:1000, Sigma). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (R&D Systems) was used at 1:2000. Western Lightning® Plus-ECL (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) was used for detection of HRP activity.

Image acquisition

Images were collected using a Leica SP2, Leica SP5, Leica SP8, or a Leica Confocal microscope equipped with a Yokagowa spinning disk CSU 10. Confocal images were collected as Z stacks at 0.3 to 0.5 μ m intervals. Sequential scanning was adapted to avoid bleed-through artifacts. Super-resolution STED images were collected at a single focal plane using a Leica TCS SP5. Images were processed using Leica Application Suite Advanced Fluorescence Software (LAS AF software) and ImageJ (NIH) (Hruska et al., 2015; Kayser et al., 2008). For live-cell imaging, neurons or HEK cells were removed from the incubator and placed in ACSF. Before stimulation or application of reagents, cells were imaged for 10 to 30 minutes to obtain baseline measurements. Images were acquired every 0.1 to 3 minutes as either single focal planes or Z stacks. For neurons, only cells with pyramidal shape were chosen to be imaged. Both fluorescent light and laser light were kept at low levels to avoid photobleach. Stable or Connected filopodia were defined as those that moved less than 1 μ m/frame during the 30 minute imaging period (Kayser et al., 2008). For photostimulation, one scan with the 470nm laser line was applied to the whole field during live-cell imaging of HEK cells or to an ROI during live-cell imaging of neurons. The output of each scan \leq 10 uW. Images were collected every 10 s in a single focal plane for HEK cell imaging and in a 3-4 frame Z stack for neuronal imaging.

Registration of live-cell imaging and images after fixation

First, cultured DIV7-10 neurons were imaged for 30 to 60 minutes. The location of each imaged neuron was recorded using a computer-controlled stage. The live-cell imaging of GPhosEphB was processed and analyzed to generate ratiometric images. After the last time point of live-cell imaging, neurons were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and 2% sucrose immediately to capture the final morphology of the imaged dendritic filopodia and stained with primary antibody (EphB2 or VGLUT1) as stated above. The location of imaged neurons was saved to be reloaded for reimaging after fixation. Permanent markers in different colors were used at the four corners of the glass dish for additional reference of reimaging. After immunostaining, each live-imaged neuron was found using the recorded location and was reimaged to visualize EphB2 or VGLUT1 puncta. Importantly, the mRFP component of the GPhosEphB indicator could be visualized after fixation to show neuronal morphology (Figures 4A, 4B, 8D, and 8G). Finally, the images from postfixation and live-cell imaging were registered and the level of GPhosEphB signal was determined at the site of contact or in the stable filopodia. To prevent experimenter bias, the level of EphB activity acquired from live-cell imaging was calculated prior to examine immunostaining images.

Modeling and analysis

The ability to discriminate Retracting and Connecting filopodia was tested by assessing the cross-validated classification accuracy of different observations by three classifiers implemented in MATLAB. Two linear classifiers (a linear Support Vector Machine and linear discriminant) and a non-linear classifier (logistic regression) were employed. The classifiers were trained and tested on three features of each observation: the slope of the signal from t = -1 to the peak; the mean during contact; and the variance of the signal during contact. Similar results were obtained by using only pairs of the three features. A 5-fold cross-validation scheme was used. Such procedure was iterated 500 times in order to obtain a distribution of classification accuracies for statistical testing.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Image analysis

Image analysis was conducted offline using ImageJ with both custom and standard macros. For super-resolution STED data analysis, raw STED images were deconvoluted by using SP5 LAS AF 80 nm resolution (determined using 40 nm yellow/green (505/515) beads, cat #: F8795, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The images then subjected to background subtraction (mean intensity of all pixels in the images) followed by a Gaussian blur (2 pixel size for STED, 0.7 pixel size for Confocal). The morphology of dendritic filopodia was defined by mNeptune. Only elongated protrusions without enlarged heads were defined as filopodia. The puncta of

EphB2, ephrin-B1, and VGLUT1 were thresholded as described previously (Hruska et al., 2015; Kayser et al., 2008; McClelland et al., 2009). To determine the relationship between EphB2, ephrin-B1, and VGLUT1, the proportion of filopodia containing these puncta was determined manually. The colocalization of VGLUT1 with EphB2 or ephrin-B1 was determined using a previously published method (McClelland et al., 2009). Briefly, binary masks of each label were generated and the location of each puncta was cataloged. Using the catalog of masks of each punctum, the colocalization and percent overlap of each colocalized punctum was determined in a field using ImageJ. The distance between puncta was measured by drawing a line between the center of mass of each punctum. The centers were defined by using an ImageJ macro (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/macros/DrawEllipse.txt).

For live-cell imaging, any time series with focal plane drifting or photobleaching was discarded. Images were first smoothed using a standard Gaussian blur (radius = 0.5-2 pixels). To obtain a ratiometric image, we used the ratio-plus macro (see: http://rsbweb.nih. gov/ij/plugins/ratio-plus.html) to calculate the ratio of GFP/RFP. The background was measured as the average signal of an approximately 50 × 50 pixel region with no transfected cells. Infinity values were set to zero using the custom ScaleRatio macro. The resulting images were quantified by measuring average ratio values using standard ImageJ tools. Ratio change was calculated as $\Delta F/F = (F - F_0)/F_0$ (Yuste and Konnerth, 2005). The average signal within imaged neurons before ephrin application was considered as F_0 .

For analyzing focal activation of GPhosEphB, we first noticed that GPhos signal showed a spatially restricted rather than diffuse pattern. The average size of the GPhos signal was calculated by thresholding the ratiometric image with 20% above average signal in the shaft where little dynamics of GPhos signals were detected. Then, we drew an ROI in the dendritic shaft as the baseline and an ROI at axo-dendritic contacts or filopodia tips where GPhos signals are found. The sizes of ROIs were limited by the width of filopodia and set in each image at 0.13 to 0.2 μ m² based on the average size of endogenously activated GPhos signal (~0.27 μ m²). For analysis of GPhos signal in filopodia, the average intensity of an ROI defining the area of Gphos activity was measured in the tip of the filopodia (0.13 to 0.2 μ m²) in the ratiometric images. The raw ratiometric value was normalized to the control level, which is defined as the average intensity of a control region with the same size in the dendritic shaft during same imaging period. The time 0 is defined as filopodia are in contact with the axons. The average of GPhos signal before -1 min was set as a baseline. The rate of change (slope) of GPhos signal. For rare cases in which the slope was negative, infinity, or zero (n = 2), the data were excluded. For calculating the size of ephrin-B puncta, either overexpressed mT2-eB1 or exogenous 647-eB puncta were thresholded and measured as described previously (Hruska et al., 2015; Kayser et al., 2008; McClelland et al., 2009).

For analysis of filopodia movement before and after ephrin-B treatment, 15 minutes movie imaged before and after treatment were chosen. The maximal activation of EphB2 was found at 15-30 minute after ephrin-B addition (Figure 3); therefore images to be analyzed were collected 15-30 minutes after ephrin-B treatment. The ImageJ plug-in Manual tracking (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/plugins/track/track.html) was used to measure the average distance filopodia moved during 15 minutes. GPhos signal was calculated as described above.

For quantification of filopodia numbers and distance moved before and after photostimulation, 10 frames (every 10 s) were chosen before and after photostimulation. The frame at photostimulation was set at time 0. The first frame before photostimulation was set at time -10 s. The number of filopodia in HEK cells were counted manually in each frame and normalized to the number of filopodia in HEK cells at frame of -10 s. For neuron experiments, the distance of filopodia in each frame was measured. Distance moved forward was indicated as + μ m and distance moved backward was indicated as $-\mu$ m. Because of the limits of microscopy resolution, only distance moved > 1 μ m was considered effective. Finally, the percentage of retracting filopodia in neurons before and after photostimulation was calculated.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were conducted a minimum of three times. Sample size (n) is indicated in the figure legends or in the text corresponding to the number of experiments was performed. Statistical analysis was conducted using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) or Sigmaplot (Systat Software, San Jose, CA). Means were given with standard errors of the mean (±SEM) throughout. t test was used for two-group comparison. Paired t test was used for matched two-group comparison. Mann-Whitney U test was used for non-parametric two-group comparison. ANOVA was used for multiple group comparison. Two-way ANOVA was used for comparison with two independent variables. Fisher's Least Significance Difference (LSD) was use for posthoc testing. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (K-S test) was used to test whether two sets of data have the same distribution. Extra-sum-of-squares F test was used to compare the goodness-of-fit of fitted curves.