Detection and avoidance of a carnivore odor by prey
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Detection and avoidance of a carnivore odor by prey

Predator–prey relationships provide a classic paradigm for the study of innate animal behavior. Odors from carnivores elicit stereotyped fear and avoidance responses in rodents, although sensory mechanisms involved are largely unknown. Here, we identified a chemical produced by predators that activates a mouse olfactory receptor and produces an innate behavioral response. We purified this predator cue from bobcat urine and identified it to be a biogenic amine, 2-phenylethylamine. Quantitative HPLC analysis across 38 mammalian species indicates enriched 2-phenylethylamine production by numerous carnivores, with some producing >3,000-fold more than herbivores examined. Calcium imaging of neuronal responses in mouse olfactory tissue slices identified dispersed carnivore odor-selective sensory neurons that also responded to 2-phenylethylamine. Two prey species, rat and mouse, avoid a 2-phenylethylamine odor source, and loss-of-function studies involving enzymatic depletion of 2-phenylethylamine from a carnivore odor indicate it to be required for full avoidance behavior. Thus, rodent olfactory sensory neurons and chemosensory receptors have the capacity for recognizing interspecies odors. One such cue, carnivore-derived 2-phenylethylamine, is a key component of a predator odor blend that triggers hard-wired aversion circuits in the rodent brain. These data show how a single, volatile chemical detected in the environment can drive an elaborate danger-associated behavioral response in mammals.
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Predator–prey relationships provide a classic paradigm for understanding the molecular basis of complex behavior (1). Predator-derived visual, auditory, and olfactory cues induce hard-wired defensive responses in prey that are sculpted by strong evolutionary pressure and are critical for survival. For example, odors from felines, canines, and other predators elicit innate reactions in rodents, including stereotypy avoidance behaviors and stimulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis that coordinates sympathetic stress responses (1). Aversive reactions to odors can function in reverse as well, as skunk thiois facilitate prey escape by repelling predator species (2).

Predator odors contain a class of ecological chemosignals termed kairomones, cues transmitted between species that benefit the detecting organism. Predator odor-derived kairomones that elicit defensive responses in rodents are largely unknown and can be found in fur, dander, saliva, urine, or feces of divergent predator species. One volatile chemical produced by foxes, 2,5-dihydro-2,4,5-trimethylthiazole (TMT), and two nonvolatile lipocalins produced by cats and rats elicit fear-like or aversive behavior in mice, enabling remote or contact-based detection of predator cues (3–5). Each of these chemicals is not broadly produced by predators, raising the possibility that rodents detect a multitude of species-specific predator signals, each of which triggers a hard-wired defensive response. Alternatively, or in addition, prey species could detect predators through common metabolites derived from shared metabolic pathways or a carnivorous diet (6). Although common predator metabolites could, in principle, provide a generalizable mechanism for rodents to avoid many predators, even those not previously encountered during the history of an individual or species, no such kairomones have been identified. Predator odors are thought to activate sensory receptors in both the olfactory epithelium and vomeronasal organ of rodents (1, 4, 5), but particular rodent sensory receptors that selectively respond to predator odors have not been identified. Some crude predator odor sources, such as cat fur and saliva, activate neural circuitry associated with the accessory olfactory system and are thus likely detected by vomeronasal receptors (1, 7). Furthermore, predator-derived lipocalins activate mouse vomeronasal sensory neurons and do not trigger defensive behavior in animals lacking TrpC2 (5), a key signal transduction channel in vomeronasal neurons (8, 9). Other predator odors, however, elicit powerful aversion responses through the main olfactory system. Mice lacking sensory receptors in a broad dorsal domain of the main olfactory epithelium do not avoid TMT or leopard urine, and instead ignore or are attracted to them (4). Thus, multiple olfactory subsystems detect different predator odors and enact appropriate defensive responses. Olfactory receptors that selectively respond to predator odors, whether expressed in the main olfactory epithelium, vomeronasal organ, or other olfactory substructure, could provide a strong evolutionary advantage for rodents.

Here, we identify 2-phenylethylamine to be a natural product with enriched production by numerous carnivores. This chemical activates HEK293 cells expressing a mouse olfactory receptor and elicits calcium responses in mouse olfactory sensory neurons. 2-phenylethylamine also evokes physiological and behavioral responses in two prey species, as it repels mice and rats, and induces an associated corticosterone surge in rats. Innate avoidance responses were maintained in mice lacking TrpC2, suggesting that vomeronasal signaling is not required. Furthermore, depletion of 2-phenylethylamine from one carnivore odor, lion urine, alters rat response behavior. Together, these data indicate that 2-phenylethylamine is a predator odor-derived kairomone detected and avoided by prey.

Results

Identification of a Predator Odor. In the course of identifying natural and synthetic ligands for olfactory trace amine-associated receptors (TAARs) (10), we found that mouse TAAR4 selectively detects the urine of several carnivore species (Fig. L4). HEK293 cells were cotransfected with TAAR expression plasmids and a cAMP-dependent reporter gene encoding secreted alkaline phosphatase (CRE-SEAP). Transfected cells were
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cubated with urine extracts from different mammalian species, and phosphatase activity was quantified with a fluorescent substrate as a reporter for TAAR activation. Urine extracts (10-fold dilution) from bobcat and mountain lion activated TAAR4, whereas rodent and human urine extracts did not. Responses to predator odors were not observed in control cells transfected with reporter gene alone. Three other TAARs—TAAR7f, TAAR8c, and TAAR9—detected natural products common to urine of numerous mammalian species, including mouse, rat, human, and carnivores (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1). However, these receptors detect carnivore and noncarnivore urines with similar sensitivity. We reasoned that TAAR4 detected a specific chemical enriched in predator urine, and that this cue might function as a kairomone.

We used a chemical fractionation approach to purify and characterize the predator urine-enriched activator. Basic dichloromethane extracts of bobcat urine were separated by silica gel chromatography, and fractions were analyzed with the reporter gene assay (Fig. 2A). Several chromatography fractions containing the TAAR4 activator were obtained and analyzed by mass spectrometry (Fig. 2B). A constituent was detected with exactly the same mass (m/z = 122) as ionized 2-phenylethylamine. Furthermore, fragmentation of this constituent and detection by tandem mass spectrometry identified a daughter ion (m/z = 105) corresponding to neutral loss of ammonia, an identical fragmentation pattern to that observed with 2-phenylethylamine. Commercially available 2-phenylethylamine was a potent activator of TAAR4 (EC50 ≈ 2 μM), whereas related amines with small perturbations in structure, such as benzylamine, did not similarly activate TAAR4 (Fig. 2C). A panel of other structurally related chemicals and phenylalanine metabolites also did not activate TAAR4 with comparable affinity (Fig. S2). Furthermore, 2-phenylethylamine did not similarly activate other olfactory TAARs with identified ligands (Fig. 2D), although it did activate TAAR1, which is not an olfactory receptor, and at 30-fold higher concentrations TAAR3, which detects many primary amines including benzylamine (Fig. S3). Mass spectrometry, fragmentation analysis, chromatographic retention time (see below), and functional activity all support 2-phenylethylamine being the major natural activator of TAAR4 present in bobcat urine.

Enriched 2-Phenylethylamine Production by Many Carnivores. We next examined whether elevated 2-phenylethylamine levels were specific to bobcat urine or general to many carnivore urines. We used quantitative high performance liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS) to measure concentrations of 2-phenylethylamine in various specimens. Injection of pure 2-phenylethylamine and counting ions of appropriate mass (m/z = 122) over time yielded a single peak whose area was linearly correlated with concentration, enabling quantification (Fig. S4). Furthermore, LC/MS analysis of bobcat urine extracts revealed a single peak of ions with m/z = 122 that comigrated precisely with 2-phenylethylamine in spiked samples (Fig. 3A).

Next, we quantified 2-phenylethylamine levels in urine extracts of 123 samples from 38 different mammalian species (Fig. 3B), including members of carnivore, rodent, artiodactyl, primate, lagomorph, and perissodactyl orders. Specimens were obtained from multiple collaborating zoos, commercial sources, or overnight collection in a metabolic cage. Zoo specimens were frozen immediately after collection to prevent bacterial growth. In cases where 2-phenylethylamine was not detected in specimen extracts, 20× concentrated extracts were also analyzed for enhanced sensitivity (detection limit <100 nM).

Urinary 2-phenylethylamine levels varied between species by several orders of magnitude. In 18/19 carnivore urines, 2-phenylethylamine levels were >2 μM, with highest levels observed in lion urine (340.1 μM). In contrast, urine from 0/19 noncarnivore species, representing five different mammalian orders, had 2-phenylethylamine levels >2 μM. 2-phenylethylamine was undetectable (<100 nM) in urine from 11/19 of these species. Average 2-phenylethylamine levels in samples from carnivore species examined (56.2 μM) were >50–500 fold higher (Fig. 3C) than average levels in samples from any other mammalian order (<100 nM to <1 μM). We did observe some variation in 2-phenylethylamine levels between specimens of the same carnivore species.
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2-PhenylethylamineActivatesMouseOlfactorySensoryNeurons. The mammalian olfactory system encodes odor identity by using combinations of olfactory receptors (11). Population imaging of sensory neurons in tissue slices has provided a valuable strategy for understanding how the olfactory system recognizes pheromones, MHC peptides, and complex scent cues containing information about sex and individuality (12–15). Here, we used a confocal imaging strategy to record cytosolic calcium transients of single sensory neurons in real time. Viability of analyzed neurons was provided by a zoo (z), or collected (c). (Figure 2) 2-Phenylethylamine is a predator odor in bobcat urine. (Figure 3) 2-Phenylethylamine is a component common to many carnivore odors. (A) LC/MS analysis of bobcat urine extracts, graphed as the number of ion counts with m/z = 122 over time, identified a single peak with identical retention time to 2-phenylethylamine. (B) 2-phenylethylamine (PEA) levels were quantified in multiple urine samples (#) from 38 species and 6 orders of mammals, as indicated. Samples were either purchased (p), provided by a zoo (z), or collected (c). (C) Average urinary 2-phenylethylamine levels were >50- to 500-fold higher in carnivores than in other mammalian orders.

(Fig. S5). For example, levels in 11 bobcat specimens ranged from 5.3 μM to 72.6 μM, suggesting that its production might be further influenced by unknown physiological factors. However, levels of 2-phenylethylamine were higher in all 11 bobcat specimens than in any of 40 noncarnivore samples tested. Together, these data indicate that 2-phenylethylamine is a common metabolite whose production is elevated in many carnivores.

2-Phenylethylamine Activates Mouse Olfactory Sensory Neurons. The mammalian olfactory system encodes odor identity by using combinations of olfactory receptors (11). Population imaging of sensory neurons in tissue slices has provided a valuable strategy for understanding how the olfactory system recognizes pheromones, MHC peptides, and complex scent cues containing information about sex and individuality (12–15). Here, we used a confocal imaging strategy to record cytosolic calcium transients of single sensory neurons in real time. Viability of analyzed neurons was determined after odor exposures by KCl-induced depolarization.

2-phenylethylamine activated a subset of KCl-responsive olfactory sensory neurons located in both the dorsal and ventral olfactory epithelium, although a higher percentage of responsive neurons were located dorsally (Figure 4 A and B). The number of...
responding neurons in dorsal olfactory epithelium, which varied with test concentration, indicated that 2-phenylethylamine activated multiple olfactory receptors (Fig. 4C). The percentage of activated neurons was similar to what has been reported for other odors (11, 16). Because the dorsal region of the olfactory epithelium mediates behavioral responses to numerous aversive odors, including a carnivore urine (4), and is also the site of TAAR4 expression (Fig. S6), we focused on imaging dorsal olfactory epithelium in subsequent experiments.

In dorsal olfactory epithelium, we identified a small subset of carnivore odor-selective sensory neurons (21/1,268; 1.7%) that were activated by lion but not giraffe urine (diluted 10,000:1). Most, but not all, carnivore odor-selective neurons responded to 2-phenylethylamine (13/21 activated by 10,000:1 lion urine and 10/18 activated by 100:1 lion urine). These data indicate 2-phenylethylamine to be a major, but not exclusive, lion urine-enriched cue recognized by the main olfactory system. Furthermore, some 2-phenylethylamine-responsive neurons were effective at distinguishing lion urine and giraffe urine and did not respond to benzylamine (13/52, 25% of 2-phenylethylamine–responsive neurons; or 13/1,268, ≈ 1% of all dorsal KCl-responsive neurons), whereas others were activated by all four test stimuli (30/52; Fig. 4D). None of the carnivore odor-selective neurons that were activated by 2-phenylethylamine also responded to benzylamine (0/1,268). Together, these data indicate that 2-phenylethylamine is detected by the rodent olfactory system, activates multiple olfactory receptors, and is a major part of a lion odor blend recognized by rodents. Importantly, these data demonstrate that olfactory sensory neurons, like vomeronasal neurons shown previously (5, 7), have the capacity for interspecies cue recognition.

Fig. 4. 2-phenylethylamine activates rodent olfactory sensory neurons. (A) Representative cytosolic calcium responses of individual olfactory sensory neurons in acute tissue slices. Fluo-4-loaded neurons (defined by contours indicated) were exposed to 2-phenylethylamine and elevated KCl (40 mM). Background-subtracted images of reporter dye intensity are coded in pseudocolors (rainbow spectrum). (B) Percentage of dorsal (n = 804) and ventral (n = 520) olfactory sensory neurons activated by 2-phenylethylamine at concentrations indicated. (C) Percentage of dorsal olfactory sensory neurons (n = 1,747) activated by 2-phenylethylamine at various concentrations. (D) Representative traces of integrated Fluo-4 fluorescence over time in individual dorsal olfactory sensory neurons exposed to test stimuli: 2-phenylethylamine (100 pM), lion urine (Fig. S5; specimen 5, 1:10,000), giraffe urine (Fig. S5; specimen 1, 1:10,000), benzylamine (100 pM), and KCl (40 mM).

Fig. 5. 2-phenylethylamine elicits an innate avoidance response in rodents. (A) A cartoon depiction of the experimental arena and ligand structures are shown. Movements of rats in response to test stimuli were recorded automatically by using infrared detectors. (B) 3D surface plots depict the percentage of time 12 rats were in regions of a square arena after exposure to test stimuli (1 mL of water or lion urine, 5 μL of PEA or BA) in the corner indicated (circle). Similar responses were observed when PEA and BA were diluted in 1 mL of water. Color scaling from red to blue indicates increased time spent in a particular region. (C) Mean percentage of time rats were located in the quadrant containing test stimuli was measured (12 animals, ± SEM, *P < 0.01). (D) Mean percentage of time rats occupied the quadrant containing 10% lion urine and 2-phenylethylamine (0, 0.4, 4, and 40 μmol) diluted in water or giraffe urine (1 mL), (12 animals, ± SEM, *P < 0.01). (E) Corticosterone levels in rat plasma determined by radioimmunoassay after exposure to odors indicated (1 mL of water, 2% TMT, 10% PEA, or 10% BA, 30 min, 8–20 animals, ± SEM, *P < 0.05). (F) Responses of wild-type or TrpC2−/− mice to odors indicated (aerosolized from 10 μL) were measured as a change in percentage occupancy of an odor compartment during a 3-min stimulus presentation (n = 5–7, ± SEM, *P < 0.05).
Rodents Avoid a 2-Phenylethylamine Odor Source. We next examined behavioral responses of rodents to 2-phenylethylamine. Rats avoid predator urines in an open field paradigm (17), so we asked whether 2-phenylethylamine elicits a similar reaction. Behaviors of rats in a square-shaped arena were recorded and analyzed after placement of test stimuli in a pseudorandom corner (Fig. 5A). Animals did not display spatial preference for any corner after exposure to water, whereas animals actively avoided corners containing lion and coyote urine. A significant avoidance response was also observed to corners containing 2-phenylethylamine (Fig. 5B and C) in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5D), but not benzylamine, a highly related amine with similar physical properties. The percentage of time rats were located in the odor quadrant during a 10-min exposure to various test stimuli was measured to be 26.7 ± 6.8% for water, 5.2 ± 1.4% for lion urine, 4.6 ± 1.1% for coyote urine, 29 ± 7.2% for benzylamine, and 8.0 ± 2.0% for 2-phenylethylamine (Fig. 5C, 12 animals ± SEM). Thus, 2-phenylethylamine, in the absence of other predator odor cues, was sufficient to evoke rat avoidance behavior.

Avoidance to 2-phenylethylamine in rats was associated with acute changes in circulating levels of the stress hormone corticosterone. Using a competitive radioactive binding assay, plasma levels of corticosterone were measured (Fig. 5E) after exposure to water (103 ± 16 ng/mL, n = 16), TMT (238 ± 21 ng/mL, n = 16), 2-phenylethylamine (194 ± 18 ng/mL, n = 20), and benzylamine (130 ± 32 ng/mL, n = 8). Increases in plasma corticosterone levels after exposure to TMT or 2-phenylethylamine, but not benzylamine, were statistically significant compared with exposures involving water. Thus, 2-phenylethylamine activates olfactory circuits that provide input to the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis that orchestrates systemic stress responses.

To test generalization across rodent species, we assessed behavioral responses of mice to 2-phenylethylamine. Valence responses to odors were measured by using a modified version of a two-choice compartment assay that was established for mouse avoidance behavior (4). Male mice were exposed to aerosolized stimuli delivered to a test compartment in an otherwise odor-free arena. Time spent in the odor compartment was measured before and during odor delivery, and the odor-evoked change in occupancy recorded (Fig. 5F). Female urine, a powerful attractant for male mice, increased test compartment occupancy (+102 ± 54.2%, n = 6), whereas water alone had no effect (+4.0 ± 9.2%, n = 6). In contrast, TMT (−58.9 ± 11.2%, n = 7) and 2-phenylethylamine (−51.3 ± 10.0%, n = 7) decreased test compartment occupancy. Mice lacking TrpC2 displayed similar innate avoidance responses to 2-phenylethylamine (−42.0 ± 14.0%, n = 5), suggesting that signaling through the vomeronasal organ is not required. These data indicate that 2-phenylethylamine is aversive to mice, as well as rats, and that response patterns are conserved in at least two rodent species.

2-Phenylethylamine Is Required for Aversion Responses to Lion Urine. We next asked whether 2-phenylethylamine was required for lion urine-evoked avoidance responses in the rat. To address this possibility, we developed a method of depleting 2-phenylethylamine from lion urine. Lion urine (Specimen 6, Fig. S5; 31 µM 2-phenylethylamine) was diluted 10-fold and treated with MAO-B, and (iii) PEA-respiked lion urine (Fig. 6C). Rats showed significant avoidance behavior to 10% lion urine, but not to 10% PEA-depleted lion urine. Furthermore, full aversion was restored to 10% PEA-respiked lion urine, indicating that 2-phenylethylamine is the relevant MAO-B substrate required for the full avoidance response to lion urine. Other potential MAO-B substrates, if present, are not important for avoidance behavior because rat responses are identical to 10% lion urine and 10% PEA-respiked lion urine despite different levels of such substrates. Furthermore, based on this analysis, 2-phenylethylamine evokes avoidance behavior at physiological concentrations in the context of other lion-derived odor cues. Together, our data provide evidence that 2-phenylethylamine is a key component of a carnivore odor blend detected and avoided by rodents.

Discussion
Understanding the molecular basis of predator odor recognition by the rodent olfactory system will provide tools to study neural circuitry associated with innate behavior. Here, we purify a predator odor from bobcat urine and identify it to be a biogenic amine, 2-phenylethylamine. Based on data presented, 2-phenylethylamine (i) is a component general to many carnivore odors, (ii) activates a rodent olfactory receptor in heterologous cells and multiple populations of olfactory sensory neurons in tissue slices, (iii) elicits innate avoidance behavior in rats and mice, and (iv) is a required component of a lion odor blend that evokes aversion responses. Together, these data indicate that 2-phenylethylamine is a predator odor-derived kairomone detected and avoided by prey species.

Based on our quantitative analysis of 2-phenylethylamine-evoked aversion (Fig. 5D), we consider it likely that behavioral responses to carnivore urine involve cooperative recognition of multiple cues, one of which is 2-phenylethylamine. This notion is consistent with neuronal imaging results indicating 2-phenylethylamine to be a major, but not exclusive, component of predator urine recognized by the olfactory system. In analogy, some aggression-promoting mouse pheromones elicit innate responses when presented in the context of an odor blend (19). It is important to note that 2-phenylethylamine is key and contributes to the
It is interesting to note that several TAAR ligands are highly aversive odors. Trimethylamine activates TAAR5, and although behavioral responses of mice to this cue are uncharacterized, it is a repugnant odor to humans associated with bacterial contamination, bad breath, and illness (22). Isoamylamine activates TAAR3 and, although speculated to be a mouse pheromone that influences reproductive physiology (23), was also shown to be an aversive odor to mice (4). Furthermore, we show here that TAAR4 detects a predator odor-enriched cue that repels rodents.

Two distinct models, that are not mutually exclusive, could explain how rodents detect and avoid divergent predator odors. One model would involve a myriad of distinct predator odor constituents, each of which produces distinct olfactory circuits that trigger innate defensive behavior. Species-specific predator odors might be particularly relevant in predator–prey relationships with a long evolutionary history. A second model would involve detection of signals commonly produced by many predators, such as 2-phenylethylamine, that provide animals with the ability to avoid novel and dangerous species not previously encountered, an evolutionary benefit.

Predator–prey relationships provide a powerful paradigm to understand the neuronal basis of instinctive behavior. Avoidance of 2-phenylethylamine illustrates how a single volatile chemical detected in the environment can drive an elaborate behavioral response in mammals through activation of the olfactory system.

**Materials and Methods**

Details of materials and methods used are given in SI Materials and Methods. Methods described include specimen collection, TAAR functional assays, preparation, fractionation, and MS of urine extracts, quantitative LC/MS analysis, confocal calcium imaging, modulation of 2-phenylethylamine levels in predator odor, corticosterone measurements, and behavioral assays.
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SI Materials and Methods

Chemicals and Specimen Collection. Chemicals were purchased from Sigma/Aldrich unless otherwise stated. Amines were purchased as free bases rather than hydrochloride salts. C57BL/6 mouse and Brown Norway rat urines were collected by using a metabolic cage, nonidentifiable human urine was purchased (Bioreclamation), and other urine samples were obtained from zoos or commercial sources as described in Fig. S5. All animal procedures were in compliance with institutional animal care and use committee guidelines.

TAAR Functional Assays. Reporter gene assays were performed as described (1) with the following minor modifications. Test urines were diluted in serum-free media containing penicillin G (100 units/mL; Invitrogen) and streptomycin sulfate (100 μg/mL; Invitrogen). SEAP activity was measured as fluorescence resulting from dephosphorylation of a substrate, 4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate. Fluorescence values were obtained by using an EnVision plate reader (Perkin-Elmer) and are reported directly without normalization. All TAARs, except mouse TAAR3, were expressed as fusion proteins with an N-terminal sequence of bovine rhodopsin (2).

Preparation of Urine Extracts. For Fig. 1A, urines (850 μL) were basified by addition of sodium hydroxide (150 μL, 1 M), and extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 480 μL). Twenty microliters of 1:1 PBS:dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to pooled dichloromethane extracts and dichloromethane removed by mild heat (65 °C). Extracts were diluted in cell culture media for TAAR functional assays relative to the original urine volume. For Fig. 1B; mouse, rat, and human urines (425 μL) were basified by the addition of sodium hydroxide (75 μL, 1 M) and extracted with dichloromethane (6 x 800 μL). Twenty microliters of 0.1% formic acid/water was added to pooled dichloromethane extracts, and dichloromethane was removed by mild heat (65 °C).

Fractionation and Analysis of Bobcat Urine. Bobcat urine (5 mL) was basified by the addition of sodium hydroxide (1 mL, 1 M), and extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 2 mL). Dichloromethane extracts were pooled and concentrated to ≈500 μL by mild heat (65 °C). Concentrated bobcat extracts were separated by silica gel chromatography using a mobile solvent phase of increasing polarity. Thirty 1-mL fractions were collected using elution mixtures of solvent A (dichloromethane) and solvent B (methanol, 4% NH₄OH), at the following ratios (A:B): 100:0, 95:5, 90:10, 80:20, 70:30, and 50:50. Aliquots (100 μL) of each chromatography fraction were prepared for TAAR4 functional analysis by the addition of 1:1 PBS: dimethyl sulfoxide (10 μL), removal of organic solvent with mild heat, and dilution in cell culture media (1 mL) for direct testing in the reporter gene assay. Identified fractions with TAAR4 activator were then diluted 1:1 by the addition of 5% formic acid/methanol and analyzed by electrospray mass spectrometry using a hybrid linear quadrupole ion trap FTICR mass spectrometer (LTQ FT; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Quantitative LC/MS Analysis. Urines (350 μL for 1x analysis or 600 μL for 20x analysis) were basified to pH 12.0 by the addition of 10 M sodium hydroxide and extracted with dichloromethane (4 x 600 μL). Dichloromethane was partially removed by mild heat (55 °C). When sample volumes decreased ≈75%, 0.1% formic acid/water was added to extracts (350 μL for 1x analysis or 30 μL for 20x analysis). The remainder of the dichloromethane was then removed by returning samples to mild heat (55 °C). Extracts or 20x concentrated extracts were analyzed by LC/MS using a Hypercarb column (Thermo Scientific; 4.6 x 100 mm) on an Agilent 1200 HPLC instrument (Agilent Technologies). Samples were eluted (12-min run, flow rate 0.7 mL/min) using a linear gradient (0-60%) of solvent A (acetoniitrile plus 0.1% formic acid) in solvent B (water plus 0.1% formic acid). The samples were analyzed in tandem by mass spectroscopy on an Agilent 6130 Quadrupole LC/MS system (Agilent Technologies). The number of ion counts with m/z ~122 (the mass of ionized 2-phenylethylamine) was graphed over time, with a lower detection limit of 1 μM, and an integrated peak size linearly correlated with concentration up to 40 μM. Specimens indicating >40 μM 2-phenylethylamine were subsequently analyzed after dilution to measure in this linear range. For each sample, a control extraction of urine spiked with 14 μM 2-phenylethylamine was run in parallel to quantify recovery during extraction, inferred by difference measurement, and verify that observed peaks in the test specimen had the same retention time as 2-phenylethylamine. Calculations of 2-phenylethylamine concentration in original specimens were based on the observed recovery rate of 2-phenylethylamine in control extractions (average of 55%). Urine extracts were used because they enabled concentration of 2-phenylethylamine for analysis, and because direct quantification of 2-phenylethylamine in urine, without extraction, resulted in an underestimation of 2-phenylethylamine levels, as assessed in spiked specimens.

Confocal Calcium Imaging of Olfactory Sensory Neurons in Tissue Slices. Recordings were performed as described (3) with the following modifications. For calcium sensitive dye loading, slices of olfactory epithelium were incubated (30 min, 4 °C) in Hapes solution: 145 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 10 mM Hapes; pH = 7.3) containing Fluo-4/AM (2 μM; Molecular Probes). Slices were transferred to a recording chamber (Slice Mini Chamber; Luigs & Neumann) and visualized by using a Leica DM6000CF/S confocal fixed stage upright microscope (Leica Microsystems) equipped with an apochromatic water immersion objective (HC X APO L20x/1.0 W) and infrared-optimized differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. Slices were anchored via stainless steel wires with 0.1-mm lyca threads and continuously superfused with Hapes-buffered solution. Changes in cytosolic calcium were monitored over time at 1.0 Hz frame rate. Stimulus application as well as solution exchange during interstimulus intervals was achieved by a custom-made, pressure-driven focal application device consisting of a software-controlled valve bank connected to a 7-in-1 “perfusion pencil.” Rhodamine application controlled for uniform flow and even stimulus application throughout the epithelial sensory surface. Offline analysis of time-lapse experiments was performed by using LAS-AF software (Leica). All cells in a given field of view were marked as individual regions of interest (ROIs), and the relative fluorescence intensity for each ROI was calculated and processed as a function of time.

Modulation of 2-Phenylethylamine Levels in Lion Urine. PEA-depleted lion urine was prepared by the addition of 90 μL of Human MAO-B (BD Biosciences; 5 mg/mL) to 1 mL of 10% lion urine/PBS (Specimen 6, Fig. S5) and incubation (24 h, 37 °C). PEA-respiked lion urine was derived from PEA-depleted lion urine by incubation (2 h, 37 °C) with R-deprenyl hydrochloride (20 mM final concentration) followed by addition of 2-phenylethylamine to 31 μM, the original level in 10% lion urine. Quantitative
LC/MS analysis verified reduction of 2-phenylethylamine in PEA-depleted lion urine and recovery of 2-phenylethylamine in PEA-respiked lion urine (Fig. 6C). All behavior experiments involving PEA-respiked lion urine were done immediately after PEA readdition, because prolonged incubation of PEA-respiked lion urine (4 h, 37 °C) resulted in partial degradation of respiked 2-phenylethylamine because of residual MAO-B activity.

Open Field Behavioral Analysis. Rat behavioral responses to odors in the open field were measured as described (4) with the following modifications. Adult Sprague–Dawley rats (240–340 g; Janvier) were placed in the center of a 45 cm × 45 cm Plexiglass arena (TSE Systems) equipped with infrared sensors (distance 14 mm, illumination 80–120 lx). The arena contained glass dishes (36 mm) in each corner, with one dish containing test stimuli. Before testing, animals were habituated to the arena by introducing them for three consecutive days. Next, test stimuli (see below) were presented to each rat on subsequent days in a pseudorandomized order and pseudorandomized odor corner. Amines were applied as free bases rather than as hydrochloride salts because acidification decreases amine volatility. All tests were performed between 0800 and 1000 hours of a normal light cycle (lights on at 0500 hours). The arena was cleaned with soapy water between experimental sessions. Location of the rats was automatically recorded by using the infrared detectors and analyzed (TSE Systems software). Statistical significance was measured by using Wilcoxon Signed Test [**P < 0.01; comparison with chance level (25%)].

Three different experiments were performed, each using 12 rats. In the first experiment (Fig. 5 B and C), each rat was exposed to 1 mL of water, 1 mL of lion urine (Specimen 1, Fig. S5), 1 mL of coyote urine, 5 μL of benzylamine, 5 μL of 2-phenylethylamine (PEA, free base, catalog no. 128945). After the experimental sequence, all animals were tested with water controls to verify the absence of residual effects. In the second experiment (Fig. S3), stimuli included PEA (0, 0.05, 0.5, or 5 μL) in 1 mL of water or 1 mL of giraffe urine, as well as 1 mL of 10% lion urine/water (Specimen 1, Fig. S5) as a control. In the third experiment (Fig. 6C), stimuli included 1 mL of water, 1 mL of 1% and 10% lion urine/PBS, 1 mL of 1% and 10% PEA-depleted lion urine/PBS, and 1 mL of 1% and 10% PEA-respiked lion urine/PBS. In experiment three, one animal was excluded from final analysis because this animal showed almost no exploratory behavior throughout the whole experiment leading to a presence of >90% in one quadrant.

Mouse Odor Responses in a Compartment Assay. Individual male mice (8 wk old) were placed in a test cage (17 × 28 cm) modified from previous designs (5). Aerosolized odors, dissolved in water or dipropylene glycol (DPG), were delivered through a gas port into a compartment of the arena such that 2/3 of the arena remained odor-free. Animals were subjected to 6-min trials consisting of 3 min of pure air delivery, followed by 3 min of odor delivery. The percentage change in odor compartment occupancy during stimulus application was calculated. Animals with <10% occupancy of the test compartment before odor exposure were excluded. Statistical significance was measured by comparison with wild-type water exposures by using a Student’s t test.

Plasma Corticosterone Assay. Rats were exposed to aqueous odor-containing solutions (1 mL of water, 10% 2-phenylethylamine, 10% benzylamine, or 2% TMT, 30 min, n = 16, 20, 8, 16) in a small box (32 × 20 × 16 cm), and rapidly decapitated for plasma collection. Corticosterone levels were measured in duplicate by using a competitive radioactive binding assay as described (6).

Fig. S1. Responses of TAAR9, TAAR8c, and TAAR7f to carnivore urines. HEK293 cells were transfected with TAAR and reporter plasmids, incubated with urine extracts indicated, and assayed for reporter activity (triplicates ± SD). Rat TAAR8c and rat TAAR9 detected urine extracts of carnivores (jaguar and mountain lion) and noncarnivores (Fig. 1: mouse, rat, human) with similar sensitivity. Mouse TAAR7f weakly detected jaguar urine. No responses were observed to animals odors in control cells transfected with reporter plasmid alone.
Fig. S2. TAAR4 has a narrow chemoreceptive field. (A and B) The names and structures of phenylalanine metabolites and other chemicals tested in TAAR4 functional assays. (C) TAAR4 detects 2-phenylethylamine but not related chemicals or other phenylalanine metabolites with similar sensitivity. HEK293 cells were transfected with TAAR4 plasmid and CRE-SEAP, incubated with ligands indicated (10 μM), and assayed for reporter activity (triplicates ± SD). TAAR4 was expressed as a fusion protein with an N-terminal sequence of bovine rhodopsin, which provided enhanced signal (2). (D and E) 3-phenylpropylamine activates TAAR4 at high concentrations, whereas 2-phenylethanol did not activate TAAR4 at any concentration tested.
Fig. S3. TAAR3 detects both 2-phenylethylamine and benzylamine. Reporter gene assays were performed on HEK293 cells transfected with mouse TAAR3 and CRE-SEAP. TAAR3 detects numerous primary amines including isoamylamine as a preferred ligand (1), 2-phenylethylamine ($EC_{50} \approx 100 \mu M$), and benzylamine ($EC_{50} \approx 200 \mu M$). TAAR3 detects 2-phenylethylamine with 30-fold reduced sensitivity compared with TAAR4 and similarly detects benzylamine, which does not elicit avoidance behavior.
Fig. S4. Quantitative analysis of 2-phenylethylamine by LC/MS. (A) LC/MS was performed on solutions containing various concentrations of 2-phenylethylamine, and the number of ion counts with \( m/z = 122 \) were graphed versus retention time. Analysis of 2-phenylethylamine standards yielded single peaks of consistent retention time whose integrated areas were correlated with concentration. (B) Plotting integrated 2-phenylethylamine peak area versus 2-phenylethylamine concentration enabled calculation of 2-phenylethylamine concentration in unknown samples based on linear regression analysis of peak area by using the sum of least square method (Excel, Microsoft).
Fig. 55. 2-phenylethylamine (PEA) levels in each of 123 individual urine specimens from 38 mammalian species used for Fig. 3. The sources of samples are shown, and zoo specimens from the same species either originated from different animals, or in some cases from the same animals collected on different days. Purchased specimens from the same species and source originate from different lots. Mouse and rat samples were collected overnight by using a metabolic cage. One cat sample was collected overnight by using nonabsorbent litter (NoSorb Beads; Catco).
**Fig. S6.** Expression patterns of TAARs in olfactory epithelium. (A) Expression of Taar4 in coronal sections of mouse olfactory epithelium is visualized by fluorescent in situ hybridization as described (1). Neurons expressing TAAR4 are dispersed in a dorsal zone of the olfactory epithelium. (B) The location of other TAAR-expressing neurons along the dorsal-ventral axis is summarized. All TAARs are expressed dorsally, except for TAAR6 and at least one TAAR7 subfamily member.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Location in OE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAAR1</td>
<td>not detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAAR2</td>
<td>dorsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAAR3</td>
<td>dorsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAAR4</td>
<td>dorsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAAR5</td>
<td>dorsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAAR6</td>
<td>ventral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAAR7s</td>
<td>dorsal, ventral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAAR8s</td>
<td>dorsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAAR9</td>
<td>dorsal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>